arXiv:1609.02697v1 [math.PR] 9 Sep 2016

Randomized filtering and Bellman equation in Wasserstein space for partial observation control problem

Elena BANDINI* Andrea COSSO† Marco FUHRMAN‡ Huyên PHAM§

September 12, 2016

Abstract

We study a stochastic optimal control problem for a partially observed diffusion. By using the control randomization method in [4], we prove a corresponding randomized dynamic programming principle (DPP) for the value function, which is obtained from a flow property of an associated filter process. This DPP is the key step towards our main result: a characterization of the value function of the partial observation control problem as the unique viscosity solution to the corresponding dynamic programming Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman (HJB) equation. The latter is formulated as a new, fully non linear partial differential equation on the Wasserstein space of probability measures. An important feature of our approach is that it does not require any non-degeneracy condition on the diffusion coefficient, and no condition is imposed to guarantee existence of a density for the filter process solution to the controlled Zakai equation, as usually done for the separated problem. Finally, we give an explicit solution to our HJB equation in the case of a partially observed non Gaussian linear quadratic model.

Keywords: partial observation control problem, randomization of controls, dynamic programming principle, Bellman equation, Wasserstein space, viscosity solutions.

AMS 2010 subject classification: 93E20, 60G35, 60H30.

*Dipartimento di Economia e Finanza, Libera Università degli Studi Sociali "Guido Carli", Roma, Italy; e-mail: ebandini@luiss.it

†Politecnico di Milano, Dipartimento di Matematica, via Bonardi 9, 20133 Milano, Italy; e-mail: andrea.cosso@polimi.it

‡Politecnico di Milano, Dipartimento di Matematica, via Bonardi 9, 20133 Milano, Italy; e-mail: marco.fuhrman@polimi.it

§Laboratoire de Probabilités et Modèles Aléatoires, CNRS, UMR 7599, Université Paris Diderot, and CREST-ENSAE; e-mail: pham@math.univ-paris-diderot.fr

1 Introduction and problem formulation

We formulate the optimal control problem of partially observed diffusion process. Let $(\bar\Omega, \bar{\mathcal F}, \bar{\mathbb P})$ be a complete probability space, on which a $(m+d)$-dimensional Brownian motion B is defined. We will distinguish the m-dimensional and d-dimensional components of B by writing $B = (V, W)$ where W plays the role of the observation process. We suppose that there exist three probability spaces $(\Omega^o, \mathcal{F}^o, \mathbb{P}^o)$, $(\Omega^1, \mathcal{F}^1, \mathbb{P}^1)$, $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ such that $\bar\Omega = \Omega^o \times \Omega^1 \times \Omega^2$, $\bar{\mathcal F}$ is the completion of $\mathcal{F}^o \otimes \mathcal{F}^1 \otimes \mathcal{F}$ with respect to $\mathbb{P}^o \otimes \mathbb{P}^1 \otimes \mathbb{P}$, and $\bar{\mathbb P}$ is the extension of $\mathbb{P}^o \otimes \mathbb{P}^1 \otimes \mathbb{P}$ to $\bar{\mathcal F}$. In addition, Ω^o is a Polish space, $\mathcal{F}^o$ its Borel σ-algebra, $\mathbb{P}^o$ an atomless probability measure on $(\Omega^o, \mathcal{F}^o)$. The space $(\Omega^o, \mathcal{F}^o, \mathbb{P}^o)$ supports the initial condition ξ of the state equation (1.1) introduced below, while $(\Omega^1, \mathcal{F}^1, \mathbb{P}^1)$ supports V, and $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ supports W. This is graphically described by the following figure:

$$\bar\Omega \;:=\; \underset{\uparrow}{\Omega^o}_{\xi} \times \underset{\uparrow}{\Omega^1}_{V} \times \underset{\uparrow}{\Omega}_{W}$$

An element $\bar\omega \in \bar\Omega$ is then written as $\bar\omega = (\omega^o, \omega^1, \omega)$, and we extend canonically V, W on $\bar\Omega$ by setting $V(\omega^o, \omega^1, \omega) = V(\omega^1)$, $W(\omega^o, \omega^1, \omega) = W(\omega)$, and similarly any random variable ξ on $(\Omega^o, \mathcal{F}^o, \mathbb{P}^o)$ is extended to $(\bar\Omega, \bar{\mathcal F}, \bar{\mathbb P})$ by setting $\xi(\omega^o, \omega^1, \omega) = \xi(\omega^o)$. We denote by $\bar{\mathbb F} = (\bar{\mathcal F}_t)_{t\geq 0}$ the natural filtration of B (namely, the right-continuous and $\bar{\mathbb P}$-complete filtration generated by B), augmented with the independent σ-algebra $\mathcal{F}^o$. The partial observation character of our problem is modeled as follows: we denote by $\mathbb{F}^W = (\mathcal{F}^W_t)_{t\geq 0}$ the $\mathbb P$-completion of the filtration generated by W and we define as an admissible control process any $\mathbb{F}^W$-progressive process α with values in some given Borel space A. The set of admissible control processes is denoted by $\mathcal{A}^W$. Given $t \in [0,T]$, $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}^o; \mathbb{R}^n)$, the set of square-integrable $\mathcal{F}^o$-measurable random variables, valued in $\mathbb{R}^n$, and $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^W$, the controlled state equation is

$$dX^{t,\xi,\alpha}_s \;=\; b(X^{t,\xi,\alpha}_s, \alpha_s)ds + \sigma(X^{t,\xi,\alpha}_s, \alpha_s)dB_s, \quad t \leq s \leq T, \quad X^{t,\xi,\alpha}_t = \xi. \tag{1.1}$$

The coefficients b and $\sigma = (\sigma_{_V}\ \sigma_{_W})$ are deterministic measurable functions from $\mathbb{R}^n \times A$ into $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathbb{R}^{n\times(m+d)}$, and assumed to satisfy the following standing assumptions.

(H1) There exists some positive constant C_1 such that for all $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $a \in A$,

$$\begin{aligned} |b(x,a) - b(x',a)| + |\sigma(x,a) - \sigma(x',a)| &\leq C_1|x - x'| \\ |b(0,a)| + |\sigma(0,a)| &\leq C_1. \end{aligned}$$

Under **(H1)**, it is shown by standard arguments that there exists a unique strong solution $X^{t,\xi,\alpha}$ to (1.1), which is $\bar{\mathbb F}$-adapted, and satisfies

$$\sup_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}^W} \bar{\mathbb E}\Big[\sup_{t\leq s\leq T} \big|X^{t,\xi,\alpha}_s\big|^2\Big] \;\leq\; C\big(1 + \bar{\mathbb E}|\xi|^2\big) \;<\; \infty, \tag{1.2}$$

for some positive constant C, where $\bar{\mathbb E}$ denotes the expectation with respect to $\bar{\mathbb P}$.

The aim is to maximize, over all admissible control processes $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^W$, the gain functional:

$$\mathcal{J}(t,\xi,\alpha) \;:=\; \bar{\mathbb E}\Big[\int_t^T f(X^{t,\xi,\alpha}_s, \alpha_s)ds + g(X^{t,\xi,\alpha}_T)\Big],$$

where $f : \mathbb{R}^n \times A \to \mathbb{R}$, and $g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ are continuous functions satisfying the quadratic growth condition:

(H2) There exists some positive constant C_2 such that for all $(x,a) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times A$,

$$|f(x,a)| + |g(x)| \quad \leq \quad C_2\big(1+|x|^2\big).$$

Under **(H2)**, the gain functional is well-defined and finite, and we consider the value function of the partial observation control problem

$$\mathcal{V}(t,\xi) \quad := \quad \sup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^W} \mathcal{J}(t,\xi,\alpha), \qquad t \in [0,T],\ \xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n), \tag{1.3}$$

which satisfies the quadratic growth estimate:

$$|\mathcal{V}(t,\xi)| \quad \leq \quad C\big(1+\bar{\mathbb{E}}|\xi|^2\big), \tag{1.4}$$

for some positive constant C depending on C_1 and C_2 in **(H1)**-**(H2)**.

This formulation of a partial observation control problem is general enough to include large classes of optimization models with latent unobservable factors, and classical partially observed control problems with observation process driven by an additive noise of the controlled state signal process can be recast in our above form (1.1)-(1.3) by the usual method of reference probability, which transforms the observation process W into a Wiener process; we refer to the introduction and Section 2.3 in our companion paper [4] for the details. As for [4], we remark that the results of the present paper are still valid if we require b and σ to be only locally Lipschitz and satisfy a linear growth condition in x, uniformly with respect to a. However, to alleviate the presentation, we assume **(H1)** throughout.

Optimal control of partially observed diffusions is a difficult problem, and a standard way of dealing with it is through the so-called *separation* method. It consists in introducing the controlled filter, that is the conditional distribution defined for any test function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\rho_s^{t,\xi,\alpha}[\varphi] \quad = \quad \bar{\mathbb{E}}\big[\varphi(X_s^{t,\xi,\alpha})|\mathcal{F}_s^W\big],$$

and solution to the so-called controlled Zakai equation:

$$d\rho_s^{t,\xi,\alpha}[\varphi] \quad = \quad \rho_s^{t,\xi,\alpha}[L^{\alpha_s}\varphi]\,ds + \rho_s^{t,\xi,\alpha}[M^{\alpha_s}\varphi]\,dW_s, \quad t \leq s \leq T, \tag{1.5}$$

where $L^a\varphi = b(.,a)\cdot D_x\varphi + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\big[\sigma\sigma^\intercal(.,a)D_x^2\varphi\big]$, $M^a\varphi = D_x\varphi^\intercal\sigma_{_W}(.,a)$. The reward functional to be maximized is then rewritten from Bayes formula as

$$\mathcal{J}(t,\xi,\alpha) \quad = \quad \bar{\mathbb{E}}\Big[\int_t^T \rho_s^{t,\xi,\alpha}[f(.,\alpha_s)]ds + \rho_T^{t,\xi,\alpha}[g]\Big], \tag{1.6}$$

and the separated problem is a fully observable optimal control problem where one has to control the infinite dimensional filter process valued in the space of probability measures. This makes the problem rather challenging, and the subject of intensive study. We give a short sketch of the possible approaches and refer the reader to the treatises [31] and [6] for more complete results and references. A first method is the stochastic Pontryagin maximum principle, which provides necessary conditions for the optimality of a control process in terms of an adjoint equation and can be used to solve successfully the problem in a number of cases: see [6] and [34]. A second approach is the dynamic programming method applied to the separated control problem (1.5)-(1.6), often under the condition that $\rho_s^{t,\xi,\alpha}(dx)$ admits a density $p_s^{t,\xi,\alpha}(x)$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$,

and the controlled Zakai equation is then written as an equation for $p_s^{t,\xi,\alpha}$, considered as a process with values in some Hilbert space (for instance the space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ or some weighted L^2-space), the so-called controlled Duncan-Mortensen-Zakai (DMZ) stochastic partial differential equation

$$dp_s^{t,\xi,\alpha} \;=\; (L^{\alpha_s})^* p_s^{t,\xi,\alpha}\, ds \;+\; (M^{\alpha_s})^* p_s^{t,\xi,\alpha}\, dW_s, \quad t \le s \le T, \tag{1.7}$$

where $(L^a)^* p = -\mathrm{div}(b(.,a)p) + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\big[D_x^2(\sigma\sigma^\intercal(.,a)p)\big]$, $(M^a)^* p = -\mathrm{div}\big(\sigma_{_W}(.,a)p\big)$, are the adjoint operators of L^a and M^a (here div(.) denotes the divergence operator). This leads to a fully non-linear Bellman (HJB) equation with unbounded terms in the infinite dimensional space of density functions, and has been studied by viscosity methods in [29], later significantly extended in [22]. However, existence of a density process solution to the DMZ equation (1.7) is a difficult issue and needs some stringent conditions, see [6], [33], and Chapter 7 of [2] for the uncontrolled case. Moreover, a rigorous proof of the dynamic programming principle for the value function to the separated control problem seems not available in the literature, even though this is the key step for proving the viscosity property to the associated HJB equation. Let us mention the so-called Nisio semigroup approach, strictly related to the dynamic programming principle, and used for instance in [6] for partial observation control problem but with drift control only, and which allows to characterize the value function via the non-linear semigroup as a mild solution to the dynamic programming equation.

We propose in this paper an alternative approach for tackling partial observation control problem, which allows us to relax the density and non-degeneracy assumptions mentioned above, and provides an original partial differential equation (PDE) characterization of the value function. We use a *randomization method* introduced in [26] for classical Markovian models, and extended to the case of partial observation in [4]. We notice that the randomization method has already been successfully implemented for the study of Markovian models in [25], [11], [20], [16], [17], [18], [3], [5], but in all these papers only the full observation case was addressed and the associated HJB equation was defined in a finite dimensional Euclidean space (or it was a merely integral equation related to a controlled pure jump process), whereas in the present paper we will be dealing with a HJB equation on a genuinely infinite-dimensional space. The basic idea is to consider a stepwise process I associated to a Poisson random measure μ, and replace the control process α by this exogenous process I in the dynamics of the process X, thus arriving at regime switching diffusion, called *randomized forward* process. We next consider an auxiliary optimization problem, called randomized or *dual* problem (in contrast with the primal problem defined in (1.3)), which consists in optimizing among equivalent changes of probability measures which only affect the intensity measure of μ but not the law of W. In the randomized problem, an admissible control is a bounded positive map ν defined on $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times A$, which is predictable with respect to the filtration $\mathbb{F}^{W,\mu}$ generated by W and μ. It turns out that the dual and primal problems coincide, and our first main result is to prove the so-called randomized dynamic programming principle (DPP) for the dual (hence for the primal) problem. For this purpose, the key step is to show the flow property of the conditional distribution of the randomized forward process given the randomized observation filtration generated by W and μ. Next, for exploiting the DPP, we use a notion of differentiability with respect to probability measures introduced by P.L. Lions in his lectures at the Collège de France [28], and detailed in the notes [13]. This notion of derivative is based on the lifting of functions defined on the Hilbert space of square integrable random variables distributed according to the "lifted" probability measure. By combining with a special Itô's chain rule for flows of conditional distributions in the spirit of

[14], we derive the dynamic programming Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman (HJB) equation for the partial observation control problem. This equation is a fully nonlinear second order partial differential equation (PDE) in the infinite dimensional Wasserstein space of probability measures, and in the case where the probability measures have a density, it reduces to the Bellman equation in the space of density functions associated to the controlled DMZ stochastic PDE (1.7), as derived in [31], [27]. By adapting standard arguments to our context, we prove the viscosity property of the value function to the HJB equation from the randomized dynamic programming principle. To complete our PDE characterization of the value function with a uniqueness result, it is convenient to work in the lifted Hilbert space of square integrable random variables instead of the Wasserstein metric space of probability measures, in order to rely on the general results for viscosity solutions of second order Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equations in separable Hilbert spaces, see [29], [30], [21]. We also state a verification theorem which is useful for getting an analytic feedback form of the optimal control when there is a smooth solution to the Bellman equation. Finally, we apply our results to a non Gaussian linear-quadratic (LQ) partial observation control problem for which one can obtain explicit solutions.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces notations and recalls some useful preliminaries about the Borel σ-algebra associated to the Wasserstein space of probability measures. We formulate in Section 3 the randomized partial observation control problem, and prove in Section 4 the randomized dynamic programming principle. Section 5 is devoted to a verification theorem and the viscosity characterization of the value function to the HJB equation. Finally, Section 6 presents an application to a non Gaussian linear-quadratic partial observation model with explicit solutions.

2 Notations and preliminaries

We introduce some notations used in the sequel of the paper.

Notations: We denote by $x.y$ the scalar product of two Euclidian vectors x and y, and by $M^\intercal$ the transpose of a matrix or vector M. We denote by $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the space of probability measures π on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with finite second order moment, namely $\|\pi\|_2^2 := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^2 \pi(dx) < \infty$. For any $\pi \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we denote by $L^2_\pi(\mathbb{R}^q)$ the set of measurable functions $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^q$, which are square integrable with respect to π, by $L^2_{\pi\otimes\pi}(\mathbb{R}^q)$ the set of measurable functions $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^q$, which are square integrable with respect to the product measure $\pi \otimes \pi$, and we set

$$\pi[\phi] \ := \ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x)\, \pi(dx), \qquad \pi \otimes \pi[\psi] \ := \ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \psi(x, x')\pi(dx)\pi(dx').$$

We also define $L^\infty_\pi(\mathbb{R}^q)$ (resp. $L^\infty_{\pi\otimes\pi}(\mathbb{R}^q)$) as the subset of elements φ in $L^2_\pi(\mathbb{R}^q)$ (resp. $L^2_{\pi\otimes\pi}(\mathbb{R}^q)$), which are bounded π (resp. $\pi \otimes \pi$) a.e., and $\|\varphi\|_\infty$ is their essential supremum. For every random variable $\xi \colon (\Omega^o, \mathcal{F}^o) \to \mathbb{R}^n$, we denote by $\mathcal{L}(\xi)$ its probability law (also called distribution) under $\mathbb{P}^o$ (or equivalently $\bar{\mathbb{P}}$).

Remark 2.1 We notice that $\mathcal{F}^o$ is rich enough to carry $\mathbb{R}^n$-valued random variables with any arbitrary square-integrable distribution, namely $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{\mathcal{L}(\xi) \colon \xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}^o; \mathbb{R}^n)\}$. Indeed, when $\Omega^o = [0,1]$, $\mathcal{F}^o = \mathcal{B}([0,1])$ and $\mathbb{P}^o = \lambda$ the Lebesgue measure, then the result is well-known (see for instance Theorem 3.1.1 in [38]). In the general case, by Corollary 7.16.1 in [8] there

exists a Borel isomorphism $\varphi\colon \Omega^o \to [0,1]$, which allows to define an atomless probability measure $\mathbb{P}^o_\varphi = \mathbb{P}^o \circ \varphi^{-1}$ on $([0,1], \mathcal{B}([0,1]))$. Let $F_\varphi\colon [0,1] \to [0,1]$ be the cumulative distribution function corresponding to $\mathbb{P}^o_\varphi$, namely $F_\varphi(t) = \mathbb{P}^o_\varphi([0,t])$, for all $t \in [0,1]$. Since F_φ is continuous, the function $G_\varphi(s) = \inf\{t \in [0,1]\colon F_\varphi(t) > s\}$, $s \in [0,1]$, satisfies $F_\varphi(G_\varphi(t)) = G_\varphi(F_\varphi(t)) = t$ (see for instance Lemma 1.37 in [23]). Notice that $\lambda = \mathbb{P}^o_\varphi \circ G_\varphi$ is the Lebesgue measure. Now, let $\pi \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and consider a random variable $\xi\colon [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\pi = \mathcal{L}(\xi)$. Then, the random variable $\tilde{\xi}\colon \Omega^o \to \mathbb{R}^n$ given by $\tilde{\xi} = \xi \circ F_\varphi \circ \varphi$ has distribution π. The claim follows from the arbitrariness of π. □

Given a function u defined on $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we call *lifted function* of u, the function v defined on $L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n)$ by $v(\xi) = u(\mathcal{L}(\xi))$. Conversely, given a function v defined on $L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n)$, we call *inverse-lifted function* of v the function u defined on $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by $u(\pi) = v(\xi)$ for $\pi = \mathcal{L}(\xi)$. Notice that u exists if and only if $v(\xi)$ depends only on the distribution of ξ, for every $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n)$, and in this case there is a one-to-one correspondence between functions defined on $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and functions defined on $L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n)$ via this lifting relation. Recall that $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a metric space when endowed with the 2-Wasserstein distance $\mathcal{W}_2$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{W}_2(\pi,\pi') \;&:=\; \inf\Big\{\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n} |x-x'|^2\gamma(dx,dx')\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} : \gamma \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ with marginals } \pi \text{ and } \pi'\Big\}\\ &=\; \inf\Big\{\Big(\mathbb{E}|\xi-\xi'|^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} : \xi,\xi' \in L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ with } \mathcal{L}(\xi) \,=\pi,\; \mathcal{L}(\xi') \,=\, \pi'\Big\}.\end{aligned}$$

We end this section providing two useful properties related to the space $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, endowed with topology (and the Borel σ-algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n))$) induced by the Wasserstein metric $\mathcal{W}_2$. In the sequel we denote by $\mathscr{B}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the set of Borel-measurable functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with quadratic growth.

Lemma 2.1 (Skorohod's representation theorem for $\mathcal{W}_2$-convergence) *Let $(\pi_m)_m$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\mathcal{W}_2(\pi_m,\pi) \to 0$, for some $\pi \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, there exists a sequence of random variables $(\xi_m)_m \subset L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n)$, with $\mathcal{L}(\xi_m) = \pi_m$, converging $\bar{\mathbb{P}}$-a.s. and in $L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n)$ to some $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n)$, with $\mathcal{L}(\xi) = \pi$.*

Proof. By Theorem 6.9 in [36], we have that $\mathcal{W}_2(\pi_m,\pi) \to 0$ is equivalent to the following convergence:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x)\,\pi_m(dx) \;\overset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow}\; \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x)\,\pi(dx), \qquad \text{for every } \varphi \in \mathscr{B}_2(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

In particular, π_m converges weakly to π, namely $\int \varphi\, d\pi_m \to \int \varphi\, d\pi$ for any bounded continuous φ. Therefore, by Skorohod's representation theorem (see for instance Theorem 2.2.2 in [10]) and in particular Remark 2.1, there exist random variables $(\xi_m)_m$ and ξ in $L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n)$, with $\mathcal{L}(\xi_m) = \pi_m$ and $\mathcal{L}(\xi) = \pi$, such that ξ_m converges $\bar{\mathbb{P}}$-a.s. to ξ. It remains to prove the convergence in $L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n)$. To this end, we notice that, by Proposition 7.1.5 in [1] the sequence $(|\xi_m|^2)_m$ is uniformly integrable. Then, it follows that $\xi_m \to \xi$ in $L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n)$. □

Lemma 2.2 *Let $(E,\mathcal{E})$ be a measurable space and consider a map $\Pi\colon E \to \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For every $\varphi \in \mathscr{B}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we denote by $\Pi[\varphi]\colon E \to \mathbb{R}$ the following map:*

$$\Pi[\varphi](e) \;=\; \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x)\,\Pi(e,dx), \qquad \textit{for every } e \in E.$$

Then, Π is measurable if and only if, for every continuous $\varphi \in \mathscr{B}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\Pi[\varphi]$ is measurable.

Proof. For every continuous $\varphi \in \mathscr{B}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, define the map $\Lambda_\varphi : \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbb{R}$ as follows:

$$\Lambda_\varphi \pi \;=\; \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x)\, \pi(dx), \qquad \text{for every } \pi \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

By Theorem 6.9 in [36], we have that $\mathcal{W}_2(\pi_m, \pi) \to 0$ if and only if, for every $\varphi \in \mathscr{B}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\Lambda_\varphi \pi_m \to \Lambda_\varphi \pi$. Therefore, the σ-algebra $\sigma(\Lambda_\varphi,\, \varphi \in \mathscr{B}_2(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ continuous})$ coincides with $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n))$.

Notice that, for every $\varphi \in \mathscr{B}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the map $\Pi[\varphi]$ is given by the composition of Λ_φ and Π, namely:

$$\Pi[\varphi](e) \;=\; (\Lambda_\varphi \circ \Pi)(e), \qquad \text{for every } e \in E.$$

Then, recalling that $\sigma(\Lambda_\varphi,\, \varphi \in \mathscr{B}_2(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ continuous})$ is equal to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n))$, we deduce the equivalence between the measurability of Π and the measurability of $\Lambda_\varphi \circ \Pi$, for every continuous $\varphi \in \mathscr{B}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. □

3 Randomized partial observation control problem

Up to a product extension, we can assume without loss of generality that the complete probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ supports in addition to the Brownian motion W, a Poisson random measure μ on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times A$, independent of W. The random measure μ has compensator $\lambda(da)dt$, for some finite positive measure λ on $(A, \mathcal{B}(A))$, with full topological support, and thus μ is a sum of Dirac measures of the form $\mu = \sum_{n\geq 1} \delta_{(T_n, A_n)}$, where $(A_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is a sequence of A-valued random variables and $(T_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is a strictly increasing sequence of random variables with values in $(0, \infty)$, and for any $C \in \mathcal{B}(A)$ the process $\mu((0,t] \times C) - t\lambda(C)$, $t \geq 0$, is a $\mathbb{P}$-martingale.

For every $(t, a) \in [0, T] \times A$, we associate to the random measure μ the A-valued pure jump process

$$I_s^{t,a} \;=\; \sum_{n\geq 1} a\, 1_{[t,T_n)}(s) + \sum_{\substack{n\geq 1 \\ t<T_n}} A_n\, 1_{[T_n, T_{n+1})}(s), \qquad s \geq t. \tag{3.1}$$

Notice that, when A is a subset of the linear space, formula (3.1) can be written as

$$I_s^{t,a} \;=\; a + \int_t^s \int_A (a' - I_{r-}^{t,a})\, \mu(dr\, da'), \qquad s \geq t.$$

From the definition of $I^{t,a}$ in (3.1), we see that the flow property holds:

$$I_s^{t,a} \;=\; I_s^{\theta, I_\theta^{t,a}}, \tag{3.2}$$

for all $\theta, s \in [t, T]$, with $\theta \leq s$. The probability space $(\bar\Omega, \bar{\mathcal{F}}, \bar{\mathbb{P}})$ on which we shall define the randomized partial observation control problem, can be described graphically as follows:

$$\bar\Omega \;=\; \overbrace{\underset{\uparrow}{\Omega^o} \times \underset{\uparrow}{\Omega^1}}^{\bar\Omega^1 :=} \times \underset{\uparrow}{\Omega}\,,$$
$$\qquad\quad \xi \qquad V \qquad\; W,\mu$$

and we extend canonically V, W, μ, ξ on $(\bar\Omega, \bar{\mathcal{F}}, \bar{\mathbb{P}})$. As reported in the graph, we have defined the space $(\bar\Omega^1, \bar{\mathcal{F}}^1, \bar{\mathbb{P}}^1)$, where $\bar\Omega^1 = \Omega^o \times \Omega^1$, $\bar{\mathcal{F}}^1$ is the completion of $\mathcal{F}^o \otimes \mathcal{F}^1$ with respect to $\mathbb{P}^o \otimes \mathbb{P}^1$, $\bar{\mathbb{P}}^1$ is the extension of $\mathbb{P}^o \otimes \mathbb{P}^1$ to $\bar{\mathcal{F}}^1$.

For every $t \geq 0$, we denote by $\mathbb{F}^{B,\mu,t} = (\mathcal{F}_s^{B,\mu,t})_{s\geq t}$ the $\mathbb{P}^1 \otimes \mathbb{P}$-completion of the filtration on $\Omega^1 \times \Omega$ generated by $(B_s - B_t)_{s\geq t}$ and $\mu\, 1_{[t,\infty)}$. We then consider, for every $(t,x,a) \in [0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n \times A$, the following regime switching diffusion equation:

$$dX_s \;=\; b(X_s, I_s^{t,a})ds + \sigma(X_s, I_s^{t,a})dB_s, \quad t \leq s \leq T, \qquad X_t = x. \tag{3.3}$$

We also require that $X_s = x$, for every $s \in [0,t)$.

Lemma 3.1 *Under Assumption* **(H1)**, *there exists a random field* $(X_s^{t,x,a})$, *with* $(t,s,x,a) \in [0,T]\times[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n \times A$, *such that:*

(i) *for every* $(t,x,a) \in [0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n \times A$, $(X_s^{t,x,a})_{s\in[t,T]}$ *is the unique* (*up to indistinguishability*) $\mathbb{F}^{B,\mu,t}$*-adapted continuous process solution to* (3.3), *and* $X_s^{t,x,a} = x$, *for every* $s \in [0,t)$;

(ii) *the map* $X_s^{t,x,a}$: $([0,T]\times[0,T]\times\Omega^1\times\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^n\times A, \mathcal{B}([0,T])\otimes\mathcal{B}([0,T])\otimes\mathcal{F}^1\otimes\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)\otimes\mathcal{B}(A)) \to (\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ *is measurable.*

Proof. In order to construct the random field $(X_s^{t,x,a})$, it is enough to show that there exists a random field $(\mathscr{X}_s^{t,x,a})$, with $(t,s,x,a) \in [0,T]\times[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n\times A$, such that:

(i)' for every $(t,x,a) \in [0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n\times A$, $(\mathscr{X}_s^{t,x,a})_{s\in[t,T]}$ is the unique (up to indistinguishability) $\mathbb{F}^{B,\mu,t}$-adapted continuous process solution to (3.3), and $\mathscr{X}_s^{t,x,a} = x$, for every $s \in [0,t)$;

(ii)' the map $\mathscr{X}_s^{t,x,a}$: $([0,T]\times[0,T]\times\Omega^1\times\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^n\times A, \mathcal{B}([0,T])\otimes\mathcal{B}([0,T])\otimes\mathcal{F}_\infty^{B,\mu,t}\otimes\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)\otimes\mathcal{B}(A)) \to (\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ is measurable.

As a matter of fact, suppose that we have already constructed the random field $(\mathscr{X}_s^{t,x,a})$. Then, by a monotone class argument, we see that there exists a $\mathbb{P}^1\otimes\mathbb{P}$-null set $N \in \mathcal{F}^1\otimes\mathcal{F}$ and a random field $(X_s^{t,x,a})$, with $(t,s,x,a) \in [0,T]\times[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n\times A$, which is measurable as a map from $([0,T]\times[0,T]\times\Omega^1\times\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^n\times A, \mathcal{B}([0,T])\otimes\mathcal{B}([0,T])\otimes\mathcal{F}^1\otimes\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)\otimes\mathcal{B}(A))$ into $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n))$, such that $(\mathscr{X}_s^{t,x,a})$ coincides with $(X_s^{t,x,a})$ on $[0,T]\times[0,T]\times((\Omega^1\times\Omega)\backslash N)\times\mathbb{R}^n\times A$. It is then easy to see that $(X_s^{t,x,a})$ satisfies items (i) and (ii) of the Lemma.

It remains to construct a random field $(\mathscr{X}_s^{t,x,a})$ satisfying (i)' and (ii)'. To this end, we exploit the Picard iterations method. More precisely, we define recursively a sequence of processes $(\mathscr{X}^{m,t,x,a})_m$, setting $\mathscr{X}^{0,t,x,a} \equiv 0$ and then defining $\mathscr{X}^{m+1,t,x,a}$ from $\mathscr{X}^{m,t,x,a}$ as follows:

$$d\mathscr{X}_s^{m+1,t,x,a} \;=\; b(\mathscr{X}_s^{m,t,x,a}, I_s^{t,a})ds + \sigma(\mathscr{X}_s^{m,t,x,a}, I_s^{t,a})dB_s, \quad t \leq s \leq T, \quad \mathscr{X}_t^{m+1,t,x,a} = x.$$

We also set $\mathscr{X}_s^{m+1,t,x,a} = x$, for every $s \in [0,t)$. It can be shown (proceeding for instance as in Lemma 14.20 of [24]) that there exists a random field $(\mathscr{X}_s^{t,x,a})$ such that we have the following convergence in probability:

$$\sup_{s\in[0,T]} |\mathscr{X}_s^{m,t,x,a} - \mathscr{X}_s^{t,x,a}| \overset{\mathbb{P}^1\otimes\mathbb{P}}{\longrightarrow} 0, \qquad \text{as } m \text{ tends to infinity.} \tag{3.4}$$

Then, for every $(t,x,a) \in [0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n\times A$, the process $(\mathscr{X}_s^{t,x,a})_{s\in[t,T]}$ is $\mathbb{F}^{B,\mu,t}$-adapted and continuous, and solves equation (3.3). Moreover, $\mathscr{X}_s^{t,x,a} = x$, for every $s \in [0,t)$. Therefore, by Theorem 14.23 in [24], $(\mathscr{X}_s^{t,x,a})_{s\in[t,T]}$ is the unique (up to indistinguishability) $\mathbb{F}^{B,\mu,t}$-adapted continuous process solution to (3.3). This proves item (i)'.

On the other hand, we notice that, for every m, the map $\mathscr{X}_s^{m,t,x,a}$: $([0,T]\times[0,T]\times\Omega^1\times\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^n\times A, \mathcal{B}([0,T])\otimes\mathcal{B}([0,T])\otimes\mathcal{F}_\infty^{B,\mu,t}\otimes\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)\otimes\mathcal{B}(A))\to(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ is measurable. Then, by the convergence in probability (3.4), we see (proceeding for instance as in the first point of Exercise IV.5.17 in [32]) that the map $\mathscr{X}_s^{t,x,a}$: $([0,T]\times[0,T]\times\Omega^1\times\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^n\times A, \mathcal{B}([0,T])\otimes\mathcal{B}([0,T])\otimes\mathcal{F}_\infty^{B,\mu,t}\otimes\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)\otimes\mathcal{B}(A))\to(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ can be taken to be measurable. This implies that item (ii)' holds true. □

For every $t\in[0,T]$, let us denote by $\bar{\mathbb{F}}^{o,B,\mu,t}=(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_s^{0,B,\mu,t})_{s\in[t,T]}$ the $\bar{\mathbb{P}}$-completion of the filtration generated by $\mathcal{F}^o$, $(B_s-B_t)_{s\geq t}$, and $\mu\,1_{(t,\infty)}$, and by $L^2(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_t^{o,B,\mu};\mathbb{R}^n)$ the set of square integrable $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_t^{o,B,\mu}$ $(:=\bar{\mathcal{F}}_t^{o,B,\mu,0})$-measurable random variables on $(\bar\Omega,\bar{\mathcal{F}},\bar{\mathbb{P}})$. By Lemma 3.1, the pathwise uniqueness of a solution to (3.5), and identity (3.2), we deduce the following standard result.

Lemma 3.2 *Under Assumption* **(H1)**, *for every* $(t,\xi,a)\in[0,T]\times L^2(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_t^{o,B,\mu};\mathbb{R}^n)\times A$, *the process* $(X_s^{t,\xi,a})_{s\in[t,T]}$, *defined as* $X_s^{t,\xi,a}(\omega^o,\omega^1,\omega)=X_s^{t,\xi(\omega^o),a}(\omega^1,\omega)$, *is the unique (up to indistinguishability)* $\bar{\mathbb{F}}^{o,B,\mu,t}$*-adapted continuous solution to the equation:*

$$dX_s^{t,\xi,a} \;=\; b(X_s^{t,\xi,a},I_s^{t,a})ds+\sigma(X_s^{t,\xi,a},I_s^{t,a})dB_s,\quad t\leq s\leq T,\quad X_t^{t,\xi,a}=\xi, \tag{3.5}$$

and satisfies the standard estimate

$$\bar{\mathbb{E}}\Big[\sup_{t\leq s\leq T}\big|X_s^{t,\xi,a}\big|^2\Big] \;\leq\; C\big(1+\bar{\mathbb{E}}|\xi|^2\big)\;<\;\infty, \tag{3.6}$$

for some positive constant C. *In addition, we have the flow property:*

$$X_s^{t,\xi,a} \;=\; X_s^{\theta,X_\theta^{t,\xi,a},I_\theta^{t,a}}, \tag{3.7}$$

$\mathbb{P}^{\mathcal{R}}$*-a.s., for all* $\theta,s\in[t,T]$, *with* $\theta\leq s$.

Remark 3.1 By misuse of notation, we have written here $X^{t,\xi,a}$ for the solution to the randomized SDE (3.5), but we point out that this differs from the solution $X^{t,\xi,\alpha}$ to the controlled SDE (1.1) when α is constant equal to a. □

We next consider on $(\Omega,\mathcal{F},\mathbb{P})$, for every $t\geq 0$, the so-called *randomized observation filtration* $\mathbb{F}^{W,\mu,t}=(\mathcal{F}_s^{W,\mu,t})_{s\geq t}$, as the $\mathbb{P}$-completion of the filtration generated by $(W_s-W_t)_{s\geq t}$ and $\mu\,1_{[t,\infty)}$, and denote by $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}^{W,\mu,t})$ the corresponding predictable σ-algebra on $\Omega\times[t,\infty)$.

We can then define, for every $t\in[0,T]$, the randomized optimal control problem as follows: the set $\mathcal{V}_{W,\mu,t}$ of admissible controls consists of all $\nu=\nu_s(\omega,a):\Omega\times[t,\infty)\times A\to(0,\infty)$, which are $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}^{W,\mu,t})\otimes\mathcal{B}(A)$-measurable and bounded.

Remark 3.2 The randomized problem can be equivalently formulated (in the sense that the value function is the same) with $\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{W,\mu,t}$ instead of $\mathcal{V}_{W,\mu,t}$. More precisely, define on $(\bar\Omega,\bar{\mathcal{F}},\bar{\mathbb{P}})$, for every $t\in[0,T]$, the filtration $\bar{\mathbb{F}}^{W,\mu,t}=(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_s^{W,\mu,t})_{s\geq t}$ as the $\bar{\mathbb{P}}$-completion of the filtration generated by $(W_s-W_t)_{s\geq t}$ and $\mu\,1_{[t,\infty)}$, and let $\mathcal{P}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}^{W,\mu,t})$ denote the corresponding predictable σ-algebra on $\bar\Omega\times[t,\infty)$. Consider the set $\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{W,\mu,t}$ of maps $\bar\nu=\bar\nu_s(\bar\omega,a):\bar\Omega\times[t,\infty)\times A\to(0,\infty)$, which are $\mathcal{P}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}^{W,\mu,t})\otimes\mathcal{B}(A)$-measurable and bounded. Then, the value function of the randomized optimal control problem (defined below in (3.10)) remains the same if we replace $\mathcal{V}_{W,\mu,t}$ by $\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{W,\mu,t}$. Indeed, for every $s\geq t$, $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_s^{W,\mu,t}$ is the $\bar{\mathbb{P}}$-completion of the canonical extension of $\mathcal{F}_s^{W,\mu,t}$ to $\bar\Omega$. As a consequence,

using for instance Proposition 1.1 in [24], we see that given $\bar{\nu} \in \bar{\mathcal{V}}_{W,\mu,t}$ there exist $\nu \in \mathcal{V}_{W,\mu,t}$ and a $\bar{\mathbb{P}}$-null set $\bar{N} \in \bar{\mathcal{F}}$ such that $\bar{\nu}_s(\bar{\omega}, a) = \nu_s(\omega, a)$ for every $(\bar{\omega}, s, a) \in (\bar{\Omega} \backslash \bar{N}) \times [t, \infty) \times A$, where $\bar{\omega} = (\omega^o, \omega^1, \omega)$. Notice that in [4] the randomized problem was formulated using the class $\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{W,\mu,t}$. □

For every $t \in [0,T]$, $\nu \in \mathcal{V}_{W,\mu,t}$, consider the Doléans exponential process

$$\kappa_s^{\nu,t} \quad = \quad \mathcal{E}_s\Big(\int_t^{\cdot} \int_A (\nu_r(a) - 1) \big(\mu(dr,da) - \lambda(da)dr\big) \Big).$$

We then define a new probability measure on $(\bar{\Omega}, \bar{\mathcal{F}})$ as $d\bar{\mathbb{P}}^{\nu,t} = \kappa_T^{\nu,t}\, d\bar{\mathbb{P}}$. Since $\kappa^{\nu,t}$ is defined on Ω, we also define a new probability measure on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ as $d\mathbb{P}^{\nu,t} = \kappa_T^{\nu,t}\, d\mathbb{P}$. From Girsanov's theorem it follows that under $\mathbb{P}^{\nu,t}$ the compensator of μ on the set $[t,T] \times A$ is the random measure $\nu_s^t(a)\lambda(da)ds$, while B remains a Brownian motion under $\mathbb{P}^{\nu,t}$, and the law of a $\mathcal{F}^o$-measurable random variable ξ is the same under $\bar{\mathbb{P}}$ and $\bar{\mathbb{P}}^{\nu,t}$. Therefore, under **(H1)**, we obtain by standard arguments the following estimate:

$$\sup_{\nu \in \mathcal{V}_{W,\mu,t}} \bar{\mathbb{E}}^{\nu,t}\Big[\sup_{s \in [t,T]} |X_s^{t,\xi,a}|^2 \Big] \quad \leq \quad C\big(1 + \bar{\mathbb{E}}\big[|\xi|^2\big]\big) \; < \; \infty, \tag{3.8}$$

where $\bar{\mathbb{E}}^{\nu,t}$ (resp. $\mathbb{E}^{\nu,t}$) denotes the expectation with respect to $\bar{\mathbb{P}}^{\nu,t}$ (resp. $\mathbb{P}^{\nu,t}$). We finally introduce the gain functional of the randomized control problem

$$\mathcal{J}^{\mathcal{R}}(t,\xi,\nu,a) \quad := \quad \bar{\mathbb{E}}^{\nu,t}\Big[\int_t^T f(X_s^{t,\xi,a}, I_s^{t,a})ds + g(X_T^{t,\xi,a}) \Big] \tag{3.9}$$

and the corresponding value function:

$$\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{R}}(t,\xi,a) \quad := \quad \sup_{\nu \in \mathcal{V}_{W,\mu,t}} \mathcal{J}^{\mathcal{R}}(t,\xi,\nu,a), \qquad t \in [0,T],\; \xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}^o; \mathbb{R}^n),\; a \in A. \tag{3.10}$$

It is proved in [4] that the value functions of the primal partial observation control problem (1.3) and of the randomized control problem (3.10) coincide:

$$\mathcal{V}(t,\xi) \quad = \quad \mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{R}}(t,\xi,a), \qquad t \in [0,T],\; \xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}^o; \mathbb{R}^n),\; a \in A. \tag{3.11}$$

This key relation will be exploited in the sequel for deriving a so-called randomized dynamic programming principle and then a Bellman equation characterizing (in the viscosity sense) the value function to the partial observation control problem.

Remark 3.3 Relation (3.11) shows that the value function of the randomized control problem depends only on the objects $B = (V, W)$, b, σ, f, g and A of the primal partial observation control problem, and thus not on the intensity measure λ of μ, nor on the initial point a taken by the jump process $I^{t,a}$. □

4 Randomized filtering and dynamic programming principle

4.1 Randomized filter process and Zakai equation

We first consider the filtering of the randomized process solution to (3.5) with respect to the randomized observation filtration. More precisely, for every $(t, s, \omega, \pi, a) \in [0,T] \times [t,T] \times \Omega \times$

$\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n) \times A$, we define $\rho_s^{t,\pi,a}(\omega) \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as follows:

$$\rho_s^{t,\pi,a}(\omega)[\varphi] \;:=\; \mathbb{E}^1\Big[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(X_s^{t,x,a}(\cdot,\omega))\,\pi(dx)\Big], \tag{4.1}$$

for every $\varphi \in \mathscr{B}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We also set $\rho_s^{t,\pi,a}(\omega) = \pi$, for every $(t,s,\omega,\pi,a) \in [0,T] \times [0,t) \times \Omega \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n) \times A$.

Remark 4.1 Let $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n)$ with law π and consider the process $(X_s^{t,\xi,a})_{s\in[t,T]}$ solution to (3.5). Then, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_s^{t,\pi,a}(\omega)[\varphi] \;:=\; \mathbb{E}^1\Big[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(X_s^{t,x,a}(\cdot,\omega))\,\pi(dx)\Big] \;&=\; \bar{\mathbb{E}}^1\big[\varphi(X_s^{t,\xi(\cdot),a}(\cdot,\omega))\big] \\ &=\; \bar{\mathbb{E}}\big[\varphi(X_s^{t,\xi,a})\big|\bar{\mathcal{F}}_s^{W,\mu,t}\big](\omega),\end{aligned}$$

$\mathbb{P}(d\omega)$-a.s., where the σ-algebra $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_s^{W,\mu,t}$ was introduced in Remark 3.2. In other words, $\rho_s^{t,\pi,a}(\omega)$ is the law of the random variable $X_s^{t,\xi(.),a}(.,\omega)$ on $(\bar\Omega^1,\bar{\mathcal{F}}^1,\bar{\mathbb{P}}^1)$, or equivalently the realization at ω of the conditional law of $X_s^{t,\xi,a}$ given $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_s^{W,\mu,t}$. Notice that $X_s^{t,\xi,a}$ is independent of the increments of $W_r - W_s$, and $\mu([s,r)\times B)$ for all $r \geq s$, $B \in \mathcal{B}(A)$. Thus, $\rho_s^{t,\pi,a}$ is equal to the conditional law of $X_s^{t,\xi,a}$ given $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_\infty^{W,\mu}$, denoted by $\mathcal{L}(X_s^{t,\xi,a}|W,\mu)$. □

Lemma 4.1 *Under Assumption* **(H1)**, *the $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$-valued random field $(\rho_s^{t,\pi,a})$, with $t \in [0,T]$, $s \in [0,T]$, $\pi \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $a \in A$, is such that:*

(i) *the map $\rho_s^{t,\pi,a}\colon ([0,T]\times[0,T]\times\Omega\times\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)\times A, \mathcal{B}([0,T])\otimes\mathcal{B}([0,T])\otimes\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n))\otimes\mathcal{B}(A)) \to (\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n),\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)))$ is measurable;*

(ii) *for every $(t,\pi,a) \in [0,T]\times\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)\times A$, the stochastic process $(\rho_s^{t,\pi,a})_{s\in[t,T]}$ is $\mathbb{F}^{W,\mu,t}$-predictable, and satisfies the estimate*

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[\sup_{t\leq s\leq T}|\rho_s^{t,\pi,a}|^2\Big] \;\leq\; C(1+\|\pi\|_2^2), \tag{4.2}$$

for some positive constant C.

Proof. Concerning item (i), we begin noting that it is enough to prove that for every $\varphi \in \mathscr{B}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the map $\rho_s^{t,\pi,a}(\omega)[\varphi]\colon ([0,T]\times[0,T]\times\Omega\times\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)\times A, \mathcal{B}([0,T])\otimes\mathcal{B}([0,T])\otimes\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n))\otimes\mathcal{B}(A)) \to (\mathbb{R},\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$ is measurable. In order to prove this latter property, fix $\varphi \in \mathscr{B}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and notice that, by Lemma 3.1 and Fubini's theorem, the map $\mathbb{E}^1[\varphi(X_s^{t,x,a}(\cdot,\omega))]\colon ([0,T]\times[0,T]\times\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^n\times A, \mathcal{B}([0,T])\otimes\mathcal{B}([0,T])\otimes\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)\otimes\mathcal{B}(A)) \to (\mathbb{R},\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$ is measurable. Then, by a monotone class argument (proving firstly the measurability of $\rho_s^{t,\pi,a}(\omega)[\varphi]$ when the map $\mathbb{E}^1[\varphi(X_s^{t,x,a}(\cdot,\omega))]$ can be expressed as a product $\varphi_1(t,s,\omega,a)\varphi_2(x)$, for some measurable and bounded functions φ_1 and φ_2), we see that $\rho_s^{t,\pi,a}(\omega)[\varphi]$ is measurable, so that the claim follows.

Let us now prove property (ii). Fix $(t,\pi,a) \in [0,T]\times\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)\times A$. Notice that, by Lemma 2.2, item (ii) follows if we prove that for every continuous $\varphi \in \mathscr{B}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the process $(\rho_s^{t,\pi,a}[\varphi])_{s\in[t,T]}$ is $\mathbb{F}^{W,\mu,t}$-predictable. In order to prove this latter property for a fixed continuous $\varphi \in \mathscr{B}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, it is enough to show that:

(ii.a) $\mathbb{P}(d\omega)$-a.s., the map $s \mapsto \rho_s^{t,\pi,a}(\omega)[\varphi]$ is continuous;

(ii.b) the process $(\rho_s^{t,\pi,a}[\varphi])_{s\in[t,T]}$ is $\mathbb{F}^{W,\mu,t}$-adapted.

Regarding item (ii.a), we begin noting that, since, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $(\mathbb{P}^1 \otimes \mathbb{P})$-a.e. path of the process $(X_s^{t,x,a})_{s\in[t,T]}$ is continuous, we deduce that the map $s \mapsto \varphi(X_s^{t,x,a}(\omega^1,\omega))$ is $(\mathbb{P}^1 \otimes \mathbb{P})(d\omega^1, d\omega)$-a.s. continuous. This implies that

$$\int_{\Omega^1\times\Omega} \lim_{\delta\downarrow 0} \sup_{\substack{|s'-s''|<\delta \\ s',s''\in\mathbb{Q}}} \left|\varphi(X_{s'}^{t,x,a}(\omega^1,\omega)) - \varphi(X_{s''}^{t,x,a}(\omega^1,\omega))\right| (\mathbb{P}^1 \otimes \mathbb{P})(d\omega^1, d\omega) \;=\; 0, \tag{4.3}$$

where we observe that the map

$$(\omega^1,\omega,x) \;\longmapsto\; \lim_{\delta\downarrow 0} \sup_{\substack{|s'-s''|<\delta \\ s',s''\in\mathbb{Q}}} \left|\varphi(X_{s'}^{t,x,a}(\omega^1,\omega)) - \varphi(X_{s''}^{t,x,a}(\omega^1,\omega))\right|$$

is $\mathcal{F}^1\otimes\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$-measurable, as a consequence of Lemma 3.1(ii). Then, from (4.3) and by Fubini's theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \;&=\; \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{\Omega^1\times\Omega} \lim_{\delta\downarrow 0} \sup_{\substack{|s'-s''|<\delta \\ s',s''\in\mathbb{Q}}} \left|\varphi(X_{s'}^{t,x,a}(\omega^1,\omega)) - \varphi(X_{s''}^{t,x,a}(\omega^1,\omega))\right| (\mathbb{P}^1 \otimes \mathbb{P})(d\omega^1, d\omega) \right) \pi(dx) \\ &=\; \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_{\Omega^1\times\mathbb{R}^n} \lim_{\delta\downarrow 0} \sup_{\substack{|s'-s''|<\delta \\ s',s''\in\mathbb{Q}}} \left|\varphi(X_{s'}^{t,x,a}(\omega^1,\omega)) - \varphi(X_{s''}^{t,x,a}(\omega^1,\omega))\right| \mathbb{P}^1(d\omega^1)\, \pi(dx) \right) \mathbb{P}(d\omega). \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that there exists a $\mathbb{P}$-null set $N \in \mathcal{F}$ such that for every $\omega \notin N$ the map $s \mapsto \varphi(X_s^{t,x,a}(\omega^1,\omega))$ is $\mathbb{P}^1(d\omega^1)\pi(dx)$-a.e. continuous, so that

$$\int_{\Omega^1\times\mathbb{R}^n} \lim_{\delta\downarrow 0} \sup_{\substack{|s'-s''|<\delta \\ s',s''\in\mathbb{Q}}} \left|\varphi(X_{s'}^{t,x,a}(\omega^1,\omega)) - \varphi(X_{s''}^{t,x,a}(\omega^1,\omega))\right| \mathbb{P}^1(d\omega^1)\, \pi(dx) \;=\; 0, \qquad \forall\, \omega \notin N. \tag{4.4}$$

In particular, this implies that, for every $\omega \notin N$, we have: $\mathbb{P}^1(d\omega^1)\pi(dx)$-a.e.

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{|s'-s''|<\delta} \left|\varphi(X_{s'}^{t,x,a}(\omega^1,\omega)) - \varphi(X_{s''}^{t,x,a}(\omega^1,\omega))\right| \\ &= \sup_{\substack{|s'-s''|<\delta \\ s',s''\in\mathbb{Q}}} \left|\varphi(X_{s'}^{t,x,a}(\omega^1,\omega)) - \varphi(X_{s''}^{t,x,a}(\omega^1,\omega))\right|. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Now, we observe that, by formula (4.1), we have

$$\left|\rho_{s'}^{t,\pi,a}(\omega) - \rho_{s''}^{t,\pi,a}(\omega)\right| \;\le\; \int_{\Omega^1\times\mathbb{R}^n} \left|\varphi(X_{s'}^{t,x,a}(\omega^1,\omega)) - \varphi(X_{s''}^{t,x,a}(\omega^1,\omega))\right| \mathbb{P}^1(d\omega^1)\, \pi(dx).$$

Fix $\delta > 0$ and take the supremum over all pairs s', s'' such that $|s' - s''| < \delta$, then, for every $\omega \notin N$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{|s'-s''|<\delta} \left|\rho_{s'}^{t,\pi,a}(\omega) - \rho_{s''}^{t,\pi,a}(\omega)\right| \\ &\le\; \int_{\Omega^1\times\mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{|s'-s''|<\delta} \left|\varphi(X_{s'}^{t,x,a}(\omega^1,\omega)) - \varphi(X_{s''}^{t,x,a}(\omega^1,\omega))\right| \mathbb{P}^1(d\omega^1)\, \pi(dx) \\ &=\; \int_{\Omega^1\times\mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{\substack{|s'-s''|<\delta \\ s',s''\in\mathbb{Q}}} \left|\varphi(X_{s'}^{t,x,a}(\omega^1,\omega)) - \varphi(X_{s''}^{t,x,a}(\omega^1,\omega))\right| \mathbb{P}^1(d\omega^1)\, \pi(dx), \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

where we used property (4.5). Taking the limit as $\delta \downarrow 0$ in (4.6), we obtain, for every $\omega \notin N$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} \sup_{|s'-s''|<\delta} \left|\rho_{s'}^{t,\pi,a}(\omega) - \rho_{s''}^{t,\pi,a}(\omega)\right| \\
&\leq \int_{\Omega^1 \times \mathbb{R}^n} \lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} \sup_{\substack{|s'-s''|<\delta \\ s',s'' \in \mathbb{Q}}} \left|\varphi(X_{s'}^{t,x,a}(\omega^1,\omega)) - \varphi(X_{s''}^{t,x,a}(\omega^1,\omega))\right| \mathbb{P}^1(d\omega^1)\, \pi(dx). \qquad (4.7)
\end{aligned}$$

From (4.4), we deduce that

$$\lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} \sup_{|s'-s''|<\delta} \left|\rho_{s'}^{t,\pi,a}(\omega) - \rho_{s''}^{t,\pi,a}(\omega)\right| \;=\; 0, \qquad \forall\, \omega \notin N.$$

This proves that, for every $\omega \notin N$, the map $s \mapsto \rho_s^{t,\pi,a}(\omega)[\varphi]$ is continuous, and concludes the proof of item (ii.a).

Let us now prove item (ii.b). To this end, fix $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n)$, with $\pi = \mathcal{L}(\xi)$. Recall from Lemma 3.2 that the process $(X_s^{t,\xi,a})_{s\in[t,T]}$ is $\bar{\mathbb{F}}^{o,B,\mu,t}$-adapted. As a consequence, $(X_s^{t,\xi,a})_{s\in[t,T]}$ is adapted (and hence predictable, since it is continuous) with respect to the $\bar{\mathbb{P}}$-completion of the filtration $(\mathcal{F}^o \otimes \mathcal{F}^1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_s^{W,\mu,t})_{s\geq t}$. Then, by Proposition 1.1.(b) of [24] it follows that there exists a $\bar{\mathbb{P}}$-null set $\bar{N} \subset \bar{\Omega}$ and a process $(\bar{X}_s^{t,\xi,a})_{s\in[t,T]}$ such that:

- $(\bar{X}_s^{t,\xi,a})_{s\in[t,T]}$ is $(\mathcal{F}^o \otimes \mathcal{F}^1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_s^{W,\mu,t})_{s\geq t}$-adapted;
- $(X_s^{t,\xi,a})_{s\in[t,T]}$ and $(\bar{X}_s^{t,\xi,a})_{s\in[t,T]}$ coincide on the complementary set of $\bar{N}$, namely they are $\bar{\mathbb{P}}$-indistinguishable.

In particular, there exists a $\mathbb{P}$-null set $N \subset \Omega$ such that, for every $\omega \notin N$, the processes $(X_s^{t,\xi,a}(\cdot,\omega))_{s\in[t,T]}$ and $(\bar{X}_s^{t,\xi,a}(\cdot,\omega))_{s\in[t,T]}$ are $\bar{\mathbb{P}}^1$-indistinguishable. Therefore, for every $s \in [t,T]$ and continuous $\varphi \in \mathscr{B}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$\rho_s^{t,\pi,a}(\omega)[\varphi] \;=\; \mathbb{E}^1\Big[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(X_s^{t,x,a}(\cdot,\omega))\, \pi(dx)\Big] \;=\; \bar{\mathbb{E}}^1\big[\varphi(X_s^{t,\xi(\cdot),a}(\cdot,\omega))\big] = \bar{\mathbb{E}}^1\big[\varphi(\bar{X}_s^{t,\xi(\cdot),a}(\cdot,\omega))\big],$$

where the last equality holds for every $\omega \notin N$. Now notice that, by Fubini's theorem, the map $\omega \mapsto \bar{\mathbb{E}}^1[\varphi(\bar{X}_s^{t,\xi(\cdot),a}(\cdot,\omega))]$ is $\mathcal{F}_s^{W,\mu,t}$-measurable. Since the random variable $\omega \mapsto \rho_s^{t,\pi,a}(\omega)[\varphi]$ is equal $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. to $\omega \mapsto \bar{\mathbb{E}}^1[\varphi(\bar{X}_s^{t,\xi(\cdot),a}(\cdot,\omega))]$, $\rho_s^{t,\pi,a}[\varphi]$ is also $\mathcal{F}_s^{W,\mu,t}$-measurable. This implies that the stochastic process $(\rho_s^{t,\pi,a}[\varphi])_{s\in[t,T]}$ is $\mathbb{F}^{W,\mu,t}$-adapted, so that item (ii.b) holds. Finally the estimate (4.2) follows from (3.6), and this concludes the proof of property (ii). □

Lemma 4.2 *Under Assumption* **(H1)**, *for every* $(t,\pi,a) \in [0,T] \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n) \times A$, *the flow property holds:*

$$\rho_s^{t,\pi,a} \;=\; \rho_s^{\theta,\rho_\theta^{t,\pi,a},I_\theta^{t,a}}, \qquad (4.8)$$

$\mathbb{P}$-*a.s., for all* $\theta, s \in [t,T]$, *with* $\theta \leq s$.

Proof. We recall from Theorem 2.18 in [2] that there exists a countable separating class $(\varphi_m)_m$ of continuous and bounded functions from $\mathbb{R}^n$ into $\mathbb{R}$, namely (see Definition 2.12 in [2]) given $\pi_1, \pi_2 \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the condition $\pi_1(\varphi_m) = \pi_2(\varphi_m)$, for every m, implies that $\pi_1 = \pi_2$. As a consequence, the claim of the Lemma follows if we prove that

$$\rho_s^{t,\pi,a}(\omega)[\varphi_m] \;=\; \rho_s^{\theta,\rho_\theta^{t,\pi,a}(\omega),I_\theta^{t,a}(\omega)}(\omega)[\varphi_m], \qquad \mathbb{P}(d\omega)\text{-a.s., for every } m. \qquad (4.9)$$

Fix $\varphi \in (\varphi_m)_m$. Our aim is to prove (4.9) for φ. From the definition of $\rho_s^{t,\pi,a}(\omega)[\varphi]$ in (4.1) and Remark 4.1, we have

$$\rho_s^{t,\pi,a}(\omega)[\varphi] \;=\; \mathbb{E}^1\bigg[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(X_s^{t,x,a}(\cdot,\omega))\,\pi(dx)\bigg] \;=\; \bar{\mathbb{E}}^1\big[\varphi\big(X_s^{t,\xi(\cdot),a}(\cdot,\omega)\big)\big].$$

Now, recall from (3.7) that $X_s^{t,\xi,a} = X_s^{\theta,X_\theta^{t,\xi,a},I_\theta^{t,a}}$, $\mathbb{P}^{\mathcal{R}}$-a.s., therefore there exists a $\mathbb{P}$-null set $N \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $X_s^{t,\xi(\cdot),a}(\cdot,\omega) = X_s^{\theta,X_\theta^{t,\xi(\cdot),a}(\cdot,\omega),I_\theta^{t,a}(\omega)}(\cdot,\omega)$, $\mathbb{P}^o \otimes \mathbb{P}^1$-a.s., for every $\omega \notin N$. Hence, for every $\omega \notin N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_s^{t,\pi,a}(\omega)[\varphi] \;&=\; \bar{\mathbb{E}}^1\Big[\varphi\Big(X_s^{\theta,X_\theta^{t,\xi(\cdot),a}(\cdot,\omega),I_\theta^{t,a}(\omega)}(\cdot,\omega)\Big)\Big]\\ &=\; \mathbb{E}^1\bigg[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi\Big(X_s^{\theta,X_\theta^{t,x,a}(\cdot,\omega),I_\theta^{t,a}(\omega)}(\cdot,\omega)\Big)\,\pi(dx)\bigg] \;=\; \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbb{E}^1\big[\Phi(X_\theta^{t,x,a}(\cdot,\omega),\cdot)\big]\,\pi(dx),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Phi(y,\omega^1) \;:=\; \varphi\big(X_s^{\theta,y,I_\theta^{t,a}(\omega)}(\omega^1,\omega)\big), \tag{4.10}$$

for every $(y,\omega^1) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \Omega$ (we omit the dependence of Φ on t,θ,s,a,ω). Notice that, for every (t,θ,s,ω,a), the σ-algebra on Ω^1 given by $\sigma(X_\theta^{t,x,a}(\cdot,\omega),\, x \in \mathbb{R}^n)$ is independent of $\sigma(\Phi(y,\cdot),\, y \in \mathbb{R}^n)$. Therefore, by a monotone class argument (first taking Φ of the form $\Phi(y,\omega) = \Phi_1(y)\Phi_2(\omega)$, for some measurable and bounded functions Φ_1 and Φ_2), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}^1\big[\Phi(X_\theta^{t,x,a}(\cdot,\omega),\cdot)\big] \;&=\; \int_{\Omega^1} \Phi(X_\theta^{t,x,a}(\omega^1,\omega),\omega^1)\,\mathbb{P}^1(d\omega^1)\\ &=\; \int_{\Omega^1\times\tilde{\Omega}^1} \Phi(X_\theta^{t,x,a}(\tilde{\omega}^1,\omega),\omega^1)\,(\mathbb{P}^1\otimes\tilde{\mathbb{P}}^1)(d\omega^1,d\tilde{\omega}^1),\end{aligned}$$

where $(\tilde{\Omega}^1,\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^1,\tilde{\mathbb{P}}^1)$ is a copy of $(\Omega^1,\mathcal{F}^1,\mathbb{P}^1)$. In conclusion, we find, $\mathbb{P}(d\omega)$-a.s.,

$$\rho_s^{t,\pi,a}(\omega)[\varphi] \;=\; \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\Omega^1\times\tilde{\Omega}^1} \Phi(X_\theta^{t,x,a}(\tilde{\omega}^1,\omega),\omega^1)(\mathbb{P}^1\otimes\tilde{\mathbb{P}}^1)(d\omega^1,d\tilde{\omega}^1)\,\pi(dx). \tag{4.11}$$

On the other hand, from formula (4.1) we have

$$\rho_s^{\theta,\rho_\theta^{t,\pi,a}(\omega),I_\theta^{t,a}(\omega)}(\omega)[\varphi] \;=\; \mathbb{E}^1\bigg[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi\big(X_s^{\theta,y,I_\theta^{t,a}(\omega)}(\cdot,\omega)\big)\,\rho_\theta^{t,\pi,a}(\omega)(dy)\bigg]. \tag{4.12}$$

Notice that $\rho_\theta^{t,\pi,a}(\omega)$, which is defined as

$$\rho_\theta^{t,\pi,a}(\omega)[\psi] \;:=\; \mathbb{E}^1\bigg[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(X_\theta^{t,x,a}(\cdot,\omega))\,\pi(dx)\bigg], \qquad \text{for every } \psi \in \mathscr{B}_2(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

is the distribution of the random variable $X_s^{t,\xi(\cdot),a}(\cdot,\omega)\colon \Omega^1 \to \mathbb{R}^n$. Therefore, (4.12) can be rewritten as (recalling that π is the distribution of ξ)

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_s^{\theta,\rho_\theta^{t,\pi,a}(\omega),I_\theta^{t,a}(\omega)}(\omega)[\varphi] \;&=\; \mathbb{E}^1\bigg[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\times\tilde{\Omega}^1} \varphi(X_s^{\theta,X_\theta^{t,x,a}(\tilde{\omega}^1,\omega),I_\theta^{t,a}(\omega)}(\omega^1,\omega))(\pi\otimes\tilde{\mathbb{P}}^1)(dx,d\tilde{\omega}^1)\bigg]\\ &=\; \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\Omega^1\times\tilde{\Omega}^1} \varphi(X_s^{\theta,X_\theta^{t,x,a}(\tilde{\omega}^1,\omega),I_\theta^{t,a}(\omega)}(\omega^1,\omega))(\mathbb{P}^1\otimes\tilde{\mathbb{P}}^1)(d\omega^1,d\tilde{\omega}^1)\,\pi(dx),\end{aligned}$$

which coincides with (4.11), using the definition of Φ in (4.10). This concludes the proof. □

For sake of completeness, we end this paragraph by showing how one can derive a filtering equation, namely an evolution equation for the randomized filter process ρ, although it will not be used in our approach. We denote by L^a and M^a, $a \in A$, the second-order and first-order differential operators from $\mathcal{C}_b^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ($\subset \mathscr{B}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$), the set of bounded and twice continuously differentiable functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with bounded derivatives, into $\mathscr{B}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$:

$$\begin{aligned} L^a\varphi &= b(.,a)\cdot D_x\varphi + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\big[\sigma\sigma^\intercal(.,a)D_x^2\varphi\big], \\ M^a\varphi &= D_x\varphi^\intercal\sigma_{_W}(.,a). \end{aligned}$$

By Itô's formula to (3.5), given $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_b^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(X_s^{t,\xi,a}) &= \varphi(\xi) + \int_t^s L^{I_r^{t,a}}\varphi(X_r^{t,\xi,a})\,dr + \int_t^s D_x\varphi(X_r^{t,\xi,a})^\intercal\sigma_{_V}(X_r^{t,\xi,a}, I_r^{t,a})\,dV_r \\ &\quad + \int_t^s M^{I_r^{t,a}}\varphi(X_r^{t,\xi,a})\,dW_r. \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

Taking in (4.13) the conditional expectation with respect to the σ-algebra $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_\infty^{W,\mu,t}$, introduced in Remark 3.2, we obtain by (4.1) and Remark 4.1

$$\rho_s^{t,\pi,a}[\varphi] = \pi[\varphi] + \int_t^s \rho_r^{t,\pi,a}[L^{I_r^{t,a}}\varphi]\,dr + \int_t^s \rho_r^{t,\pi,a}[M^{I_r^{t,a}}\varphi]\,dW_r, \tag{4.14}$$

for all $s \in [t,T]$. Observe that equation (4.14) looks like a controlled Zakai equation (see equation (1.5)) where the control parameter has been replaced by the stochastic process $I^{t,a}$. We refer to (4.14) as *randomized Zakai equation*. Following standard arguments in nonlinear filtering (see for instance Chapter 7 in [2]), we can recast equation (4.14) in a strong form under the condition that, for all $s \in [t,T]$, $\rho_s^{t,\pi,a}$ has a density $p_s^{t,\pi,a} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (the L^2 space on $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure), namely

$$\rho_s^{t,\pi,a}[\varphi] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\varphi(x)\,p_s^{t,\pi,a}(x)\,dx =: <\varphi, p_s^{t,\pi,a}>_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \qquad \text{for every } s \in [t,T],$$

for all bounded measurable functions φ on $\mathbb{R}^n$. In particular, π has a density $\eta := p_t^{t,\pi,a} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and we set $p_s^{t,\eta,a} := p_s^{t,\pi,a}$. If the function $x \mapsto p_s^{t,\eta,a}(x)$ is smooth enough (for instance, $p_s^{t,\eta,a} \in \mathcal{C}_c^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the set of twice continuously differentiable functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with compact support), integrating by parts in (4.14) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_s^{t,\pi,a}[\varphi] &= <\varphi, p_s^{t,\eta,a}>_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &= <\varphi, \Big(\eta + \int_t^s (L^{I_r^{t,a}})^* p_r^{t,\eta,a}\,dr + \int_t^s (M^{I_r^{t,a}})^* p_r^{t,\eta,a}\,dW_r\Big)>_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_c^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} (L^a)^* p &= -\mathrm{div}(b(.,a)p) + \tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\big[D_x^2(\sigma\sigma^\intercal(.,a)p)\big], \\ (M^a)^* p &= -\mathrm{div}\big(\sigma_{_W}(.,a)p\big), \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

are the adjoint operators of L^a and M^a (here div(.) denotes the divergence operator). This leads to consider the stochastic partial differential equation (SPDE) for the density $p^{t,\eta,a}$ in the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$:

$$dp_s^{t,\eta,a} = (L^{I_s^{t,a}})^* p_s^{t,\eta,a}\,ds + (M^{I_s^{t,a}})^* p_s^{t,\eta,a}\,dW_s, \quad t \le s \le T, \qquad p_t^{t,\eta,a} = \eta \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n), \tag{4.16}$$

which is refereed to as the *randomized Duncan-Mortensen-Zakai (DMZ) SPDE* (compare with the controlled DMZ equation in (1.7)).

4.2 Randomized dynamic programming principle

From Bayes formula, and the $(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{F}^{W,\mu,t})$-martingale property of the density process $\kappa^{\nu,t}$ in (3.8) of the probability measure $\mathbb{P}^{\nu,t}$, $\nu \in \mathcal{V}_{W,\mu,t}$, we can express the randomized gain functional (3.9) in a separated form involving the randomized filter process (4.1), namely:

$$J^{\mathcal{R}}(t,\xi,\nu,a) \;=\; \mathbb{E}^{\nu,t}\Big[\int_t^T \rho_s^{t,\pi,a}[f(.,I_s^{t,a})]ds + \rho_T^{t,\pi,a}[g]\Big].$$

where $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n)$ has distribution π. We then see that the randomized gain functional $\mathcal{J}^{\mathcal{R}}$ depends upon ξ only through its distribution π. Therefore, we define the inverse-lifted version of $\mathcal{J}^{\mathcal{R}}$ by:

$$J^{\mathcal{R}}(t,\pi,\nu,a) \;:=\; \mathcal{J}^{\mathcal{R}}(t,\xi,\nu,a) \;=\; \mathbb{E}^{\nu,t}\Big[\int_t^T \rho_s^{t,\pi,a}[f(.,I_s^{t,a})]ds + \rho_T^{t,\pi,a}[g]\Big],$$

for all $(t,\pi) \in [0,T] \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and every $\nu \in \mathcal{V}_{W,\mu,t}$. Similarly, we define the inverse-lifted versions of $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{R}}$ respectively as v and $v^{\mathcal{R}}$. They are given by (recalling the equivalence result (3.11))

$$v(t,\pi) \;=\; v^{\mathcal{R}}(t,\pi,a) \;=\; \sup_{\nu\in\mathcal{V}_{W,\mu,t}} J^{\mathcal{R}}(t,\pi,\nu,a), \qquad (t,\pi) \in [0,T]\times\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n),\, a \in A. \tag{4.17}$$

Noting that $v^{\mathcal{R}}$ is constant with respect to $a \in A$, from now on we simply write $v^{\mathcal{R}}(t,\pi)$ instead of $v^{\mathcal{R}}(t,\pi,a)$, for any $a \in A$. Notice also from (1.4) that we have the quadratic growth condition: there exists some positive constant C such that

$$|v(t,\pi)| \;\le\; C(1+\|\pi\|_2^2), \quad \forall (t,\pi) \in [0,T]\times\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n). \tag{4.18}$$

The purpose of this paragraph is to prove a dynamic programming principle for the value function $v^{\mathcal{R}}$ of the randomized control problem, and consequently for the value function v of the primal partial observation control problem. We shall rely on the dual representation of $v^{\mathcal{R}}(t,\pi)$ in terms of a constrained backward stochastic differential equation (BSDE), obtained in [4], and refereed to as the *randomized equation*.

For every $(t,\pi,a) \in [0,T]\times\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)\times A$, the randomized equation is formulated in terms of the filter process $(\rho_s^{t,\pi,a})_{s\in[t,T]}$ as a backward stochastic differential equation with nonnegative jumps on the filtered probability space $(\Omega,\mathcal{F},\mathbb{F}^{W,\mu,t},\mathbb{P})$:

$$\begin{cases} Y_s \;=\; \rho_T^{t,\pi,a}[g] + \displaystyle\int_s^T \rho_r^{t,\pi,a}[f_r(\cdot,I_r^{t,a})]\,dr + K_T - K_s \\ \qquad\quad - \displaystyle\int_s^T Z_r\,dW_r - \int_s^T\int_A U_r(a)\,\mu(dr\,da), \qquad \text{for all } s\in[t,T], \\ U_s(a) \;\le\; 0, \qquad \mathbb{P}(d\omega)\,ds\,\lambda(da)\text{-a.e. on } \Omega\times[t,T]\times A. \end{cases} \tag{4.19}$$

We are interested in the minimal solution to (4.19), whose definition is reported below.

Definition 4.1 *For every $(t,\pi,a) \in [0,T]\times\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)\times A$, a quadruple (Y,Z,U,K) is a solution to equation (4.19) if:*

1. $Y \in \mathcal{S}^2(\mathbb{F}^{W,\mu,t})$, *the class of real càdlàg* $\mathbb{F}^{W,\mu,t}$*-adapted processes* $(Y_s)_{s\in[t,T]}$ *such that* $\|Y\|^2_{\mathcal{S}^2(\mathbb{F}^{W,\mu,t})} := \mathbb{E}[\sup_{t\le s\le T}|Y_s|^2] < \infty$;

2. $Z \in L^2_W(\mathbb{F}^{W,\mu,t})$, *the class of* $\mathbb{R}^d$*-valued* $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}^{W,\mu,t})$*-measurable processes* $(Z_s)_{s\in[t,T]}$ *such that* $\|Z\|^2_{L^2_W(\mathbb{F}^{W,\mu,t})} := \mathbb{E}\big[\int_t^T |Z_s|^2 ds\big] < \infty$;

3. $U \in L^2_{\tilde\mu}(\mathbb{F}^{W,\mu,t})$, *the class of* $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}^{W,\mu,t})\otimes\mathcal{B}(A)$*-measurable maps* $U\colon \Omega\times[t,T]\times A\to\mathbb{R}$ *such that* $\|U\|^2_{L^2_{\tilde\mu}(\mathbb{F}^{W,\mu,t})} := \mathbb{E}\big[\int_t^T\int_A |U_s(a)|^2\lambda(da)ds\big] < \infty$;

4. $K \in \mathcal{K}^2(\mathbb{F}^{W,\mu,t})$, *the subclass of* $\mathcal{S}^2(\mathbb{F}^{W,\mu,t})$ *consisting of* $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}^{W,\mu,t})$*-measurable nondecreasing processes satisfying* $K_t = 0$.

A solution (Y,Z,U,K) *is called minimal if, given any other solution* (Y',Z',U',K'), *we have* $Y_s \le Y'_s$, $\mathbb{P}$*-a.s., for all* $s\in[t,T]$.

It is proved in [4], Theorem 5.1, that for every $(t,\pi,a)\in[0,T]\times\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)\times A$ there exists a unique minimal solution $(Y^{t,\pi,a},Z^{t,\pi,a},U^{t,\pi,a},K^{t,\pi,a})\in\mathcal{S}^2(\mathbb{F}^{W,\mu,t})\times L^2_W(\mathbb{F}^{W,\mu,t})\times L_{\tilde\mu}(\mathbb{F}^{W,\mu,t})\times\mathcal{K}^2(\mathbb{F}^{W,\mu,t})$ to (4.19). Moreover, we have the following dual representation (see Theorem 5.1 in [4]):

$$Y_t^{t,\pi,a} \;=\; \sup_{\nu\in\mathcal{V}_{W,\mu,t}} \mathbb{E}^{\nu,t}\bigg[\int_t^T \rho_s^{t,\pi,a}[f(\cdot,I_s^{t,a})]\,ds + \rho_T^{t,\pi,a}[g]\bigg]. \tag{4.20}$$

This implies, using (4.17), that (recall that we write $v^{\mathcal{R}}(t,\pi)$ instead of $v^{\mathcal{R}}(t,\pi,a)$, for any $a\in A$)

$$v(t,\pi) \;=\; v^{\mathcal{R}}(t,\pi) \;=\; Y_t^{t,\pi,a}, \qquad (t,\pi)\in[0,T]\times\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n),\ \text{for any } a\in A. \tag{4.21}$$

As shown in Theorem 5.2 of [4], the following generalization of the dual representation (4.20) holds:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t^{t,\pi,a} &= \sup_{\nu\in\mathcal{V}_{W,\mu,t}}\ \sup_\theta\, \mathbb{E}^{\nu,t}\bigg[\int_t^\theta \rho_r^{t,\pi,a}[f(\cdot,I_r^{t,a})]\,dr + Y_\theta^{t,\pi,a}\bigg] \\ &= \sup_{\nu\in\mathcal{V}_{W,\mu,t}}\ \inf_\theta\, \mathbb{E}^{\nu,t}\bigg[\int_t^\theta \rho_r^{t,\pi,a}[f(\cdot,I_r^{t,a})]\,dr + Y_\theta^{t,\pi,a}\bigg], \end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

where the $\sup_\theta$ and $\inf_\theta$ are taken over the class of $\mathbb{F}^{W,\mu,t}$-stopping times θ valued in $[t,T]$, and this can be viewed as a dynamic programming relation for the solution to the BSDE. The importance of the flow property in Lemma 4.2 is now to state in the next Lemma the identification between the value function and the solution to the BSDE at any time, which in turn allows to obtain the randomized dynamic programming principle for the value function.

Lemma 4.3 *Under Assumption* **(H1)**, *for every* $(t,\pi,a)\in[0,T]\times\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)\times A$, *we have the identity:* $\mathbb{P}$*-a.s.,*

$$v(s,\rho_s^{t,\pi,a}) \;=\; v^{\mathcal{R}}(s,\rho_s^{t,\pi,a}) \;=\; Y_s^{t,\pi,a}. \tag{4.23}$$

Proof. We provide a sketch of the proof. We introduce, for every $m\in\mathbb{N}$, the penalized backward stochastic differential equation:

$$Y_s^{m,t,\pi,a} \;=\; \rho_T^{t,\pi,a}[g] + \int_s^T \rho_r^{t,\pi,a}[f_r(\cdot,I_r^{t,a})]\,dr + K_T^{m,t,\pi,a} - K_s^{m,t,\pi,a}$$

$$- \int_s^T Z_r^{m,t,\pi,a}\, dW_r - \int_s^T \int_A U_r^{m,t,\pi,a}(a)\, \mu(dr\, da),$$

for every $s \in [t,T]$, where

$$K_s^{m,t,\pi,a} \;=\; m \int_t^s \int_A \big(U_r^{m,t,\pi,a}(a)\big)^+ \lambda(da)\, dr.$$

By Lemma 2.4 in [35] it follows that, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a unique solution $(Y^{m,t,\pi,a}, Z^{m,t,\pi,a}, U^{m,t,\pi,a}) \in \mathcal{S}^2(\mathbb{F}^{W,\mu,t}) \times L^2_W(\mathbb{F}^{W,\mu,t}) \times L^2_{\tilde{\mu}}(\mathbb{F}^{W,\mu,t})$ to the penalized equation. Since this latter equation is not a constrained equation, it is easy to prove, by the flow properties (3.2) and (4.8), that the following flow property holds:

$$Y_s^{m,t,\pi,a} \;=\; Y_s^{m,\theta,\rho_\theta^{t,\pi,a},I_\theta^{t,a}}, \tag{4.24}$$

$\mathbb{P}$-a.s., for all $\theta, s \in [t,T]$, with $\theta \le s$. Let us now define, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the deterministic map:

$$v_m(t,\pi,a) \;=\; Y_t^{m,t,\pi,a}, \qquad \text{for every } (t,\pi,a) \in [0,T] \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n) \times A.$$

Then, from (4.24), we obtain:

$$v_m(s,\rho_s^{t,\pi,a}, I_s^{t,a}) \;=\; Y_s^{m,t,\pi,a}, \qquad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s., for every } (t,\pi,a) \in [0,T] \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n) \times A. \tag{4.25}$$

We now notice that, proceeding as in Theorem 2.1 of [26], we see that $Y_s^{m,t,\pi,a}$ increasingly converges $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. to $Y_s^{t,\pi,a}$, as m goes to infinity, for every $s \in [t,T]$. In particular, $v_m(t,\pi,a) = Y_t^{m,t,\pi,a}$ converges to $v(t,\pi) = v^{\mathcal{R}}(t,\pi) = Y_t^{t,\pi,a}$, for every $(t,\pi,a) \in [0,T] \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n) \times A$. Then, letting $m \to \infty$ in (4.25), we obtain (4.23). □

By (4.22) and formula (4.23), we then obtain the so-called *randomized dynamic programming principle* for the value functions $v = v^{\mathcal{R}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} v(t,\pi) \;&=\; \sup_{\nu \in \mathcal{V}_{W,\mu,t}} \sup_\theta \mathbb{E}^{\nu,t}\Big[\int_t^\theta \rho_r^{t,\pi,a}[f(\cdot, I_r^{t,a})]dr + v(\theta, \rho_\theta^{t,\pi,a}) \Big] \\ &=\; \sup_{\nu \in \mathcal{V}_{W,\mu,t}} \inf_\theta \mathbb{E}^{\nu,t}\Big[\int_t^\theta \rho_r^{t,\pi,a}[f(\cdot, I_r^{t,a})]dr + v(\theta, \rho_\theta^{t,\pi,a}) \Big], \end{aligned} \tag{4.26}$$

for every $(t,\pi) \in [0,T] \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $a \in A$, with θ varying in the class of $\mathbb{F}^{W,\mu,t}$-stopping times valued in $[t,T]$.

Remark 4.2 It would be possible to derive a standard DPP from the randomized version. However, there is no advantage in doing it, since the randomized DPP is as powerful as the standard one for our purpose of characterizing the value function through a dynamic programming equation in the Wasserstein space of probability measures. This is the focus of the next section. □

5 Bellman equation and viscosity solutions in the Wasserstein space

The purpose of this section is to derive from the dynamic programming principle (4.26) a partial differential equation (PDE), called Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman (HJB) equation, in the Wasserstein space of probability measures for characterizing the value function $v(t,\pi)$.

5.1 Notion of differentiability and Itô's formula

We shall rely on the notion of derivative with respect to a probability measure, as introduced by P.L. Lions in his course at Collège de France [28], and detailed in the lecture notes [13].

A function $u : \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be differentiable (resp. $\mathcal{C}^1$) on $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if its lifted function v is Fréchet differentiable (resp. Fréchet differentiable with continuous derivatives) on $L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n)$. In this case, the Fréchet derivative $[Dv](\xi)$, viewed as an element $Dv(\xi)$ of $L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n)$ by Riesz' theorem: $[Dv](\xi)(\zeta) = \mathbb{E}^o[Dv(\xi).\zeta]$, can be represented as

$$Dv(\xi) \;=\; \partial_\pi u(\mathcal{L}(\xi))(\xi), \tag{5.1}$$

for some function $\partial_\pi u(\mathcal{L}(\xi)) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$, which is called derivative of u at $\pi = \mathcal{L}(\xi)$. Moreover, $\partial_\pi u(\pi) \in L^2_\pi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $\pi \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{\mathcal{L}(\xi), \xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n)\}$. Following [15], we say that u is fully $\mathcal{C}^2$ if it is $\mathcal{C}^1$, and one can find, for any $\pi \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, a continuous version of the mapping $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \partial_\pi u(\pi)(x)$, such that the mapping $(\pi, x) \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \partial_\pi u(\pi)(x)$ is continuous at any point (π, x) such that $x \in \mathrm{Supp}(\pi)$, and

(i) for each fixed $\pi \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the mapping $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \partial_\pi u(\pi)(x)$ is differentiable in the standard sense, with a gradient denoted by $\partial_x \partial_\pi u(\pi)(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$, and such that the mapping $(\pi, x) \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \partial_x \partial_\pi u(\pi)(x)$ is continuous

(ii) for each fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the mapping $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n) \mapsto \partial_\pi u(\pi)(x)$ is differentiable in the above lifted sense. Its derivative, interpreted thus as a mapping $x' \in \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \partial_\pi\big[\partial_\pi u(\pi)(x)\big](x')$ $\in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$ in $L^2_\pi(\mathbb{R}^{n\times n})$, is denoted by $x' \in \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \partial^2_\pi u(\pi)(x, x')$, and such that the mapping $(\pi, x, x') \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \partial^2_\pi u(\pi)(x, x')$ is continuous.

We say that $u \in \mathcal{C}^2_b(\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ if it is fully $\mathcal{C}^2$, $\partial_x \partial_\pi u(\pi) \in L^\infty_\pi(\mathbb{R}^{n\times n})$, $\partial^2_\pi u(\pi) \in L^\infty_{\pi\otimes\pi}(\mathbb{R}^{n\times n})$ for any $\pi \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and for any compact set $\mathcal{K}$ of $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$\sup_{\pi\in\mathcal{K}} \Big[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \big|\partial_\pi u(\pi)(x)\big|^2 \pi(dx) + \big\|\partial_x \partial_\pi u(\pi)\big\|_\infty + \big\|\partial^2_\pi u(\pi)\big\|_\infty \Big] \;<\; \infty. \tag{5.2}$$

If u lies in $\mathcal{C}^2_b(\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n))$, then its lifted function v is twice continuously Fréchet differentiable on $L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n)$. In this case, the second Fréchet derivative $D^2v(\xi)$ is identified indifferently by Riesz' theorem as a bilinear form on $L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n)$ or as a self-adjoint operator (hence bounded) on $L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n)$, denoted by $D^2v(\xi) \in S(L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n))$, and we have the relation (see Appendix A.2 in [14]):

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} D^2v(\xi)[\zeta,\zeta] &=& \mathbb{E}^o\Big[D^2v(\xi)(\zeta).\zeta\Big] \;=\; \mathbb{E}^o\Big[\mathbb{E}'^o\big[\mathrm{tr}\big(\partial^2_\pi u(\mathcal{L}(\xi))(\xi,\xi')\zeta(\zeta')^\intercal\big)\big]\Big] \\ && \qquad + \;\mathbb{E}^o\Big[\mathrm{tr}\big(\partial_x\partial_\pi u(\mathcal{L}(\xi))(\xi)\zeta\zeta^\intercal\big)\Big], \\ D^2v(\xi)[\eta N,\eta N] &=& \mathbb{E}^o\Big[D^2v(\xi)(\eta N).\eta N\Big] \;=\; \mathbb{E}^o\Big[\mathrm{tr}\big(\partial_x\partial_\pi u(\mathcal{L}(\xi))(\xi)\eta\eta^\intercal\big)\Big], \end{array} \right. \tag{5.3}$$

for any $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\zeta \in L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\eta \in L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^{n\times q})$, and where (ξ', ζ') is a copy of (ξ, ζ) on another Polish and atomless probability space $(\Omega'^o, \mathcal{F}'^o, \mathbb{P}'^o)$, $N \in L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^q)$ is independent of (ξ, η) with zero mean and unit variance.

We next need an Itô's formula along a flow of conditional measures proved in [14] for processes with common noise, and that we adapt and formulate here in our randomization context for partial observation. Let $(\bar\Omega, \bar{\mathcal{F}}, \bar{\mathbb{P}})$ be a probability space of the form: $\bar\Omega = \bar\Omega^1 \times \Omega$, $\bar{\mathcal{F}} = \bar{\mathcal{F}}^1 \otimes \mathcal{F}$, $\bar{\mathbb{P}} = \bar{\mathbb{P}}^1 \otimes \mathbb{P}$,

where $\bar{\Omega}^1 = \Omega^o \times \Omega^1$, $\bar{\mathcal{F}}^1 = \mathcal{F}^o \otimes \mathcal{F}^1$, $\bar{\mathbb{P}}^1 = \mathbb{P}^o \otimes \mathbb{P}^1$, with $(\Omega^o, \mathcal{F}^o, \mathbb{P}^o)$ a "rich" probability space (see Remark 2.1), $(\Omega^1, \mathcal{F}^1, \mathbb{P}^1)$ supporting a m-dimensional $(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbb{F}^1 = (\mathcal{F}^1_t)_t)$-Brownian motion V, $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ supporting a d-dimensional $(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_t)$-Brownian motion W. For our purpose, $\mathbb{F}$ is the $\mathbb{P}$-completion of either the filtration generated by W, or the filtration generated by W and a Poisson random measure μ. Denote by $\bar{\mathbb{F}} = (\bar{\mathcal{F}}_t)_t$ the $\bar{\mathbb{P}}$-completion of the filtration generated by $\mathcal{F}_t$, $\mathcal{F}^1_t$ and $\mathcal{F}^o$, $t \geq 0$. Let us consider an Itô process in $\mathbb{R}^n$ of the form:

$$X_t \;=\; X_0 + \int_0^t b_s ds + \int_0^t \sigma^V_s dV_s + \int_0^t \sigma^W_s dW_s, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \tag{5.4}$$

where $X_0 \in L^2(\mathcal{F}^o, \mathbb{R}^n)$, b, $\sigma = (\sigma^V \; \sigma^W)$ are $\bar{\mathbb{F}}$-progressively measurable processes, and satisfying the integrability condition:

$$\bar{\mathbb{E}}\big[\int_0^T |b_t|^2 + |\sigma_t|^2 dt\big] \;<\; \infty.$$

For $\omega \in \Omega$, denote by $\rho_t(\omega)$ the law of the random variable $X_t(.,\omega)$ on $(\bar{\Omega}^1, \bar{\mathcal{F}}^1, \bar{\mathbb{P}}^1)$. Thus ρ_t is the conditional law of X_t given $\mathcal{F}_\infty$, hence also equal to the conditional law of X_t given $\mathcal{F}_t$ (recall that $\mathbb{F}$ is the natural filtration of W or of (W, μ)), which then defines an $\mathbb{F}$-adapted process (ρ_t) valued in $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $u \in \mathcal{C}^2_b(\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n))$. Then, for all $t \in [0,T]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u(\rho_t) \;=\; & u(\rho_0) + \int_0^t \bar{\mathbb{E}}^1\Big[\partial_\pi u(\rho_s)(X_s).b_s + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\big(\partial_x \partial_\pi u(\rho_s)(X_s)\sigma_s\sigma_s^\intercal\big)\Big] \\ & + \bar{\mathbb{E}}^1\Big[\bar{\mathbb{E}}'^1\big[\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\big(\partial^2_\pi u(\rho_s)(X_s, X'_s)\sigma^W_s(\sigma'^{,W}_s)^\intercal\big)\big]\Big] ds \\ & + \int_0^t \bar{\mathbb{E}}^1\Big[\partial_\pi u(\rho_s)(X_s)^\intercal \sigma^W_s\Big] dW_s, \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

where X' and $\sigma'^{,W}$ are copies of X and σ^W on another probability space $(\bar{\Omega}' = \bar{\Omega}'^1 \times \Omega, \bar{\mathcal{F}}'^1 \otimes \mathcal{F}, \bar{\mathbb{P}}'^1 \otimes \mathbb{P})$, with $(\bar{\Omega}'^1, \bar{\mathcal{F}}'^1, \bar{\mathbb{P}}'^1)$ supporting V' a copy of V.

Alternatively, we can formulate Itô's formula for the lifted v on $L^2(\mathcal{F}^o; \mathbb{R}^n)$. For this consider a copy $\tilde{V}$ of V on $(\Omega^o, \mathcal{F}^o, \mathbb{P}^o)$, and denote by $\tilde{X}_0$, $\tilde{b}$, $\tilde{\sigma}^V$, $\tilde{\sigma}^W$ copies of X_0, b, σ^V on $(\Omega^o \times \Omega, \mathcal{F}^o \otimes \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}^o \otimes \mathbb{P})$, and consider the Itô process

$$\tilde{X}_t \;=\; \tilde{X}_0 + \int_0^t \tilde{b}_s ds + \int_0^t \tilde{\sigma}^V_s d\tilde{V}_s + \int_0^t \tilde{\sigma}^W_s dW_s, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \tag{5.6}$$

which is then a copy on $(\Omega^o \times \Omega, \mathcal{F}^o \otimes \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}^o \otimes \mathbb{P})$ of X in (5.4). The process $\check{X}$ defined by $\check{X}_t(\omega) = \tilde{X}_t(.,\omega)$, $0 \leq t \leq T$, is $\mathbb{F}$-progressive, valued in $L^2(\mathcal{F}^o; \mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\mathcal{L}(\check{X}_t(\omega)) = \rho_t(\omega)$. Similarly, the processes defined by $\check{b}_t(\omega) = \tilde{b}_t(.,\omega)$, $\check{\sigma}^V_t(\omega) = \tilde{\sigma}_t(.,\omega)$, $\check{\sigma}^W_t(\omega) = \tilde{\sigma}^W_t(.,\omega)$, $0 \leq t \leq T$, are $\mathbb{F}$-progressive valued in $L^2(\mathcal{F}^o; \mathbb{R}^N)$, $\mathbb{P}$-almost surely. Itô's formula (5.5) is then written for the lifted function $v \in \mathcal{C}^2(L^2(\mathcal{F}^o; \mathbb{R}^d))$ from (5.1)-(5.3) as:

$$\begin{aligned} v(\check{X}_t) \;=\; & v(\check{X}_0) + \int_0^t \mathbb{E}^o\Big[Dv(\check{X}_s).\check{b}_s + \frac{1}{2}D^2 v(\check{X}_s)(\check{\sigma}^V_s N).\check{\sigma}^V_s N + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\big[D^2 v(\check{X}_s)(\check{\sigma}^W_s).\check{\sigma}^W_s\big]\Big] ds \\ & + \int_0^t \mathbb{E}^o\big[Dv(\check{X}_s)^\intercal \check{\sigma}^W_s\big] dW_s, \qquad 0 \leq t \leq T, \; \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.} \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

for any Gaussian random variable $N \in L^2(\mathcal{F}^o; \mathbb{R}^m)$ independent of $(\tilde{V}, \tilde{X}_0)$, with zero mean and unit variance. We do not report the proof of Itô's formula (5.7), since it can be done proceeding along the same lines as in the proof of Propositions 6.3 and 6.5 in [14], see also Theorem 7.1 in [12], and [15] for related results.

5.2 Bellman equation and verification theorem

We have now the ingredients for deriving the Bellman equation for the (inverse-lifted) value function $v(t,\pi)$ in (4.17), and it turns out that it takes the following form:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl} \partial_t v + \sup\limits_{a\in A}\Big\{\pi\big[f(.,a)+\mathcal{L}^a v(t,\pi)\big] + \pi\otimes\pi\big[\mathcal{M}^a v(t,\pi)\big]\Big\} &=& 0, \quad (t,\pi)\in[0,T)\times\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n), \\ v(T,\pi) &=& \pi[g], \quad \pi\in\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n), \end{array}\right. \tag{5.8}$$

where for $\phi\in\mathcal{C}_b^2(\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n))$, $a\in A$, and $\pi\in\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\mathcal{L}^a\phi(\pi)\in L^2_\pi(\mathbb{R})$ is the function $\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{L}^a\phi(\pi)(x) \;=\; \partial_\pi\phi(\pi)(x).b(x,a) + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\big(\partial_x\partial_\pi\phi(\pi)(x)\sigma\sigma^\intercal(x,a)\big) \tag{5.9}$$

and $\mathcal{M}^a\phi(\pi)\in L^2_{\pi\otimes\pi}(\mathbb{R})$ is the function $\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{M}^a\phi(\pi)(x,x') \;=\; \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\big(\partial^2_\pi\phi(\pi)(x,x')\sigma_{_W}(x,a)\sigma^\intercal_{_W}(x',a)\big). \tag{5.10}$$

The Bellman equation (5.8) is validated by the following verification theorem, whose proof will rely on the above Itô's formula.

Theorem 5.1 *(Verification Theorem)*
Let w be a real-valued function in $\mathcal{C}_b^{1,2}([0,T]\times\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n))$, i.e. w is continuous on $[0,T]\times\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $w(t,.)\in\mathcal{C}_b^2(\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ for all $t\in[0,T]$, and $w(.,\pi)\in\mathcal{C}^1([0,T))$ for all $\pi\in\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and satisfying a quadratic growth condition as in (4.18)*, together with a linear growth condition for its derivative:*

$$|\partial_\pi w(t,\pi)(x)| \;\le\; C(1+|x|+\|\pi\|_2), \quad \forall(t,x,\pi)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d), \tag{5.11}$$

for some positive constant C. Suppose that w is solution to the Bellman equation (5.8)*, and there exists for all $(t,\pi)\in[0,T)\times\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ an element $\hat{a}(t,\pi)$ attaining the supremum in* (5.8) *such that the stochastic McKean-Vlasov SDE*

$$d\hat{X}_s \;=\; b\big(\hat{X}_s,\hat{a}(s,\mathcal{L}(\hat{X}_s|W))\big)ds + \sigma\big(\hat{X}_s,\hat{a}(s,\mathcal{L}(\hat{X}_s|W))\big)dB_s, \quad t\le s\le T,$$

admits a unique solution $\hat{X}^{t,\xi}$ given an initial condition $\xi\in L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, $w=v$ and the feedback control in $\mathcal{A}^W$ defined by

$$\alpha^*_s \;=\; \hat{a}(s,\mathcal{L}(\hat{X}^{t,\xi}_s|W)),\; t\le s\le T, \tag{5.12}$$

is an optimal control for $v(t,\pi)$, i.e. $v(t,\pi)=J(t,\xi,\alpha^*)$ for $\pi=\mathcal{L}(\xi)$.

Proof. Fix $(t,\pi=\mathcal{L}(\xi))\in[0,T)\times\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and consider an arbitrary control $\alpha\in\mathcal{A}^W$ associated to $X^{t,\xi,\alpha}$ solution to (1.1). From (1.2) under **(H1)**, we have

$$\bar{\mathbb{E}}\Big[\int_t^T |b(X^{t,\xi,\alpha}_s,\alpha_s)|^2 + |\sigma(X^{t,\xi,\alpha}_s,\alpha_s)|^2 ds\Big] \;<\; \infty.$$

Consider the controlled filter process $\{\rho^{t,\pi,\alpha}_s, t\le s\le T\}$ of the controlled process $X^{t,\xi,\alpha}$, which defines an $\mathbb{F}^W$-optional process valued in $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, given for any bounded measurable function φ by

$$\rho^{t,\pi,\alpha}_s[\varphi] \;=\; \bar{\mathbb{E}}\Big[\varphi(X^{t,\xi,\alpha}_s)\big|\mathcal{F}^W_s\Big], \quad t\le s\le T,\; \pi=\mathcal{L}(\xi)\in\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n),\; \alpha\in\mathcal{A}^W. \tag{5.13}$$

Notice that $X_s^{t,\xi,\alpha}$ is independent of the increments of $W_r - W_s$, for all $r \geq s$. Thus, $\rho_s^{t,\pi,\alpha}$ is equal to the conditional law of $X_s^{t,\xi,\alpha}$ given $\mathcal{F}_\infty^W$, denoted by $\mathcal{L}(X_s^{t,\xi,\alpha}|W)$, and notice that $\mathbb{P}(d\omega)$-a.s., $\rho_s^{t,\pi,\alpha}(\omega)$ is the law of $X_s^{t,\xi,\alpha}(.,\omega)$ on $(\bar{\Omega}^1, \bar{\mathcal{F}}^1, \bar{\mathbb{P}}^1)$. From (1.2), we have the estimate:

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[\sup_{t\leq s\leq T} |\rho_s^{t,\pi,\alpha}|^2\Big] \;\leq\; C(1+\|\pi\|_2^2), \quad \forall (t,\pi) \in [0,T]\times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n), \alpha \in \mathcal{A}^W, \tag{5.14}$$

for some positive constant C. One can apply Itô's formula (5.5) to $w(s, \rho_s^{t,\pi,\alpha})$ between t and the $\mathbb{F}^W$-stopping time $\theta_T^n = \inf\{s \geq t : \|\rho_s^{t,\pi,\alpha}\|_2 \geq n\}$, and obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} w(\theta_T^n, \rho_{\theta_T^n}^{t,\pi,\alpha}) \;&=\; w(t,\pi) + \int_t^{\theta_T^n} \partial_t w(s,\rho_s^{t,\pi,\alpha}) \;+\; \bar{\mathbb{E}}^1\Big[\partial_\pi w(s,\rho_s^{t,\pi,\alpha})(X_s).b(X_s,\alpha_s) \\ &\qquad + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\big(\partial_x\partial_\pi w(s,\rho_s^{t,\pi,\alpha})(X_s)\sigma_s\sigma_s^\intercal(X_s,\alpha_s)\big)\Big] \\ &\qquad + \bar{\mathbb{E}}^1\Big[\bar{\mathbb{E}}'^1\big[\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\big(\partial_\pi^2 w(s,\rho_s^{t,\pi,\alpha})(X_s,X_s')\sigma_{_W}(X_s,\alpha_s)\sigma_{_W}(X_s',\alpha_s)^\intercal\big)\big]\Big]ds \\ &\quad + \int_t^{\theta_T^n} \bar{\mathbb{E}}^1\Big[\partial_\pi w(s,\rho_s^{t,\pi,\alpha})(X_s)^\intercal \sigma_{_W}(X_s,\alpha_s)\Big]dW_s, \end{aligned}$$

where we set for alleviating notations: $X_s = X_s^{t,\xi,\alpha}$, and X_s' is a copy of X_s, $t \leq s \leq T$, on another probability space $(\bar{\Omega}'^1 \times \Omega, \bar{\mathcal{F}}'^1 \otimes \mathcal{F}, \bar{\mathbb{P}}'^1 \otimes \mathbb{P})$, with $(\bar{\Omega}'^1, \bar{\mathcal{F}}'^1, \bar{\mathbb{P}}'^1)$ supporting V' a copy of V, (recall that α_s is $\mathcal{F}_s^W$-measurable and thus is a copy of itself). By definition of $\rho_s^{t,\pi,\alpha}$ and since α_s is measurable with respect to $\mathcal{F}_\infty^W$, the above relation can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} w(\theta_T^n, \rho_{\theta_T^n}^{t,\pi,\alpha}) \;&=\; w(t,\pi) + \int_t^{\theta_T^n} \big\{\partial_t w(s,\rho_s^{t,\pi,\alpha}) + \rho_s^{t,\pi,\alpha}\big[\mathcal{L}^{\alpha_s} w(s,\rho_s^{t,\pi,\alpha})\big] \\ &\qquad + \rho_s^{t,\pi,\alpha}\otimes\rho_s^{t,\pi,\alpha}\big[\mathcal{M}^{\alpha_s} w(s,\rho_s^{t,\pi,\alpha})\big]\big\}ds + \int_t^{\theta_T^n} \rho_s^{t,\pi,\alpha}\big[\partial_\pi w(s,\rho_s^{t,\pi,\alpha})(.)^\intercal \sigma_{_W}(.,\alpha_s)\big]dW_s, \end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

by definition of $\mathcal{L}^a$ and $\mathcal{M}^a$ in (5.9)-(5.10). Now, the integrand of the stochastic integral with respect to W in (5.15) satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned} \Big|\rho_s^{t,\pi,\alpha}\big[\partial_\pi w(s,\rho_s^{t,\pi,\alpha})(.)^\intercal\sigma_{_W}(.,\alpha_s)\big]\Big|^2 &\leq \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \big|\partial_\pi w(s,\rho_s^{t,\pi,\alpha})(x)^\intercal\sigma_{_W}(x,\alpha_s)\big|\rho_s^{t,\pi,\alpha}(dx)\Big)^2 \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \big|\partial_\pi w(s,\rho_s^{t,\pi,\alpha})(x)\big|^2\rho_s^{t,\pi,\alpha}(dx)\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\big|\sigma_{_W}(x,\alpha_s)\big|^2\rho_s^{t,\pi,\alpha}(dx) \\ &\leq C(1+n^2)^2 < \infty, \qquad t \leq s \leq \theta_T^n, \end{aligned}$$

from Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the linear growth condition of $\sigma_{_W}$ in **(H1)**, the choice of θ_T^n, and condition (5.11). Therefore, the stochastic integral in (5.15) vanishes in $\bar{\mathbb{P}}$-expectation, and we get

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathbb{E}}\big[w(\theta_T^n, \rho_{\theta_T^n}^{t,\pi,\alpha})\big] \;&=\; w(t,\pi) \;+\; \bar{\mathbb{E}}\Big[\int_t^{\theta_T^n} \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}(s,\rho_s^{t,\pi,\alpha}) + \rho_s^{t,\pi,\alpha}\big[\mathcal{L}^{\alpha_s} w(s,\rho_s^{t,\pi,\alpha})\big] \\ &\qquad\qquad + \rho_s^{t,\pi,\alpha}\otimes\rho_s^{t,\pi,\alpha}\big[\mathcal{M}^{\alpha_s} w(s,\rho_s^{t,\pi,\alpha})\big]ds\Big] \\ &\leq\; w(t,\pi) \;-\; \bar{\mathbb{E}}\Big[\int_t^{\theta_T^n} \rho_s^{t,\pi,\alpha}[f(.,\alpha_s)]ds\Big], \end{aligned} \tag{5.16}$$

since w satisfies the Bellman equation (5.8). By sending n to infinity into (5.16), and from the dominated convergence theorem (under the condition that w, f satisfy a quadratic growth condition

and recalling the estimation (5.14)), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} w(t,\pi) &\geq \bar{\mathbb{E}}\Big[\int_t^T \rho_s^{t,\pi,\alpha}[f(.,\alpha_s)]ds + \rho_T^{t,\pi,\alpha}[g]\Big] \\ &= \bar{\mathbb{E}}\Big[\int_t^T f(X_s^{t,\xi,\alpha},\alpha_s)ds + g(X_T^{t,\xi,\alpha})\Big] \;=\; \mathcal{J}(t,\xi,\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

Since α is arbitrary in $\mathcal{A}$, this shows that $w(t,\pi) \geq \mathcal{U}(\xi)$, hence $w \geq v$.

In the final step, let us apply the same Itô's argument as in (5.15) with the control α^* in (5.12). Since $\hat{a}$ attains the supremum in the Bellman equation, we thus get after taking expectation:

$$w(t,\pi) \;=\; \bar{\mathbb{E}}\Big[\int_t^T \rho_s^{t,\pi,\alpha^*}[f(.,\alpha_s^*] + \rho_T^{t,\pi,\alpha^*}[g]\Big] \;=\; \bar{\mathbb{E}}\Big[\int_t^T f(X_s^{t,\xi,\alpha^*},\alpha_s^*)ds + g(X_T^{t,\xi,\alpha^*})\Big]$$

which shows that $w(t,\pi) = \mathcal{J}(t,\xi,\alpha^*)$ $(\leq \mathcal{U}(t,\xi) = v(t,\pi))$, and therefore the required result: $v(t,\pi) = w(t,\pi) = \mathcal{J}(t,\xi,\alpha^*)$. □

Remark 5.1 (Bellman equation in the space of density functions)
Assume that the probability measure $\pi \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of the random variable $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n)$ has a density η, i.e. $\pi(dx) = \eta(x)dx$, with $\eta \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and to alleviate notations, we set $v(t,\eta) = v(t,\pi)$ for the value function defined on $[0,T]\times L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ or on $[0,T]\times\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Suppose that $v(t,.)$ is Fréchet differentiable on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with derivative $D_\eta v(t,\eta) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by Riesz theorem. As shown in [7], this derivative is related to the derivative in the Wasserstein space by:

$$\partial_\pi v(t,\pi)(x) \;=\; D_x\big(D_\eta v(t,\eta)(x)\big), \quad \text{and so} \quad \partial_x\partial_\pi v(t,\pi)(x) \;=\; D_x^2\big(D_\eta v(t,\eta)(x)\big).$$

Moreover, if $v(t,.)$ is twice Fréchet differentiable on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, its second derivative is a self-adjoint operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which can be identified by Riesz theorem, as a symmetric function of two arguments, namely $D_\eta^2 v(t,\eta)(x,x')$, and it is related to the second derivative in the Wasserstein space by:

$$\partial_\pi^2 v(t,\pi)(x,x') \;=\; D_x D_{x'}\big(D_\eta^2 v(t,\eta)(x,x')\big).$$

Recalling the definition (5.9) of the operator $\mathcal{L}^a$, we then have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi\big[\mathcal{L}^a v(t,\pi)\big] &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\Big[D_x\big(D_\eta v(t,\eta)(x)\big).b(x,a) + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\big(D_x^2\big(D_\eta v(t,\eta)(x)\big)\sigma\sigma^\intercal(x,a)\big)\Big]\eta(x)dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D_\eta v(t,\eta)(x)(L^a)^*\eta(x)dx \;=\; \langle D_\eta v(t,\eta),(L^a)^*\eta\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \end{aligned}$$

by integration by parts and definition (4.15) of the adjoint operators $(L^a)^*$. Similarly, recalling the definition (5.10) of the operator $\mathcal{M}^a$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi\otimes\pi\big[\mathcal{M}^a v(t,\pi)\big] &= \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n}\mathrm{tr}\big[D_xD_{x'}\big(D_\eta^2 v(t,\eta)(x,x')\big)\sigma_W(x,a)\sigma_W^\intercal(x',a)\big]\eta(x)\eta(x')dxdx' \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n} D_\eta^2 v(t,\eta)(x,x')(M^a)^*\eta(x)(M^a)^*\eta(x')dxdx' \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\langle D_\eta^2 v(t,\eta)(M^a)^*\eta,(M^a)^*\eta\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \end{aligned}$$

by integration by parts and definition (4.15) of the adjoint operators $(M^a)^*$. Therefore, the Bellman equation (5.8) is reduced to the Bellman equation in $[0,T] \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} \frac{\partial v(t,\eta)}{\partial t} + \sup\limits_{a \in A} \Big[\langle D_\eta v(t,\eta), (L^a)^* \eta \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} & + & \frac{1}{2} \langle D^2_\eta v(t,\eta)(M^a)^* \eta, (M^a)^* \eta \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & & + \ \langle f(\cdot,a), \eta \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \Big] \ = \ 0, \\ v(T,\eta) & = & \langle g, \eta \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \end{array} \right. \tag{5.17}$$

which is the dynamic programming equation associated to the controlled DMZ equation (1.7). A clear advantage of the Bellman approach in the Wasserstein space compared to the classical Bellman approach in the space of density function, is that it is more general since we do not need to have a density for the controlled filter process, i.e. the existence of a solution to the controlled SPDE (1.7), which typically requires some uniform ellipticity condition on the diffusion matrix σ (not needed here), see [31] for related results. Moreover, the viscosity property and the uniqueness result of the value function to (5.17) are delicate issues as this Bellman equation in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is fully nonlinear with unbounded first and second order terms, and needs to be studied in weighted L^2 spaces, see [27] and [21] for some results. Alternatively, and as investigated in the next section, the viscosity solutions approach for the Bellman equation (5.8), when lifted in the space $L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n)$, appears quite convenient and leads to rather general results under mild conditions, again without any uniform ellipticity assumption. □

5.3 Viscosity solutions

In general, there are no smooth solutions to the HJB equation, and we shall thus consider in this section a notion of viscosity solutions for the Bellman equation (5.8) in the Wasserstein space of probability measures $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We adopt the approach in [28], and detailed in [13], which consists, after the lifting identification between measures and random variables, in working in the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n)$ instead of working in the Wasserstein space $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, in order to use the various tools developed for viscosity solutions in separable Hilbert spaces, in particular in our context, for second order Hamilton-Jacobi equations. We shall assume that the σ-algebra $\mathcal{F}^o$ is countably generated up to null sets, which ensures that the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^d)$ is separable, see [19], p. 92. This is satisfied for example when $\mathcal{F}^o$ is the Borel σ-algebra of a canonical space Ω^o of continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}_+$, in which case, $\mathcal{F}^o = \vee_{s \geq 0} \mathcal{F}^{B^0}_s$, where $(\mathcal{F}^{B^0}_s)$ is the canonical filtration on Ω^o, and it is then known that $\mathcal{F}^o$ is countably generated, see for instance Exercise 4.21 in Chapter 1 of [32].

Let us then consider the "lifted" Bellman equation in $(0,T] \times L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n)$:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcll} -\dfrac{\partial \mathcal{U}}{\partial t} + \mathcal{H}(\xi, D\mathcal{U}(t,\xi), D^2\mathcal{U}(t,\xi)) & = & 0 & \text{on } (0,T) \times L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n), \\ \mathcal{U}(T,\xi) & = & \hat{g}(\xi) \ := \ \mathbb{E}^o[g(\xi)], & \xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n), \end{array} \right. \tag{5.18}$$

where $\mathcal{H} : L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n) \times S(L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n)) \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathcal{H}(\xi,P,\Gamma) & = & -\sup\limits_{a \in A} \Big\{ \mathbb{E}^o \big[f(\xi,a) + P.b(\xi,a) \\ & & \qquad + \dfrac{1}{2} \Gamma(\sigma_{_V}(\xi,a)N).\sigma_{_V}(\xi,a)N + \dfrac{1}{2} \mathrm{tr}\big[\Gamma(\sigma_{_W}(\xi,a)).\sigma_{_W}(\xi,a) \big] \big] \Big\}, \end{array} \tag{5.19}$$

with $N \in L^2(\mathcal{F}^o, \mathbb{R}^m)$ of zero mean and unit variance, and independent of ξ. Observe that when $u \in \mathcal{C}_b^2([0,T] \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a (classical) solution to the Bellman equation (5.8), then its lifted function v is a classical solution to the lifted Bellman equation (5.18).

We then define viscosity solutions for the Bellman equation (5.8) from viscosity solutions to (5.18). As usual, we say that a function u (resp. v) is locally bounded in $(0,T] \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (resp. on $(0,T] \times L^2(\mathcal{F}^o; \mathbb{R}^n)$) if it is bounded on bounded subsets of $(0,T] \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (resp. of $(0,T] \times L^2(\mathcal{F}^o; \mathbb{R}^n)$), and we denote by u^* (resp. v^*) its upper semicontinuous envelope, and by u_* (resp. v_*) its lower semicontinuous envelope. More precisely, concerning for instance v we have

$$v_*(t,\xi) \;=\; \liminf_{\substack{(s,\eta)\to(t,\xi)\\ s<T}} v(s,\xi) \qquad \text{and} \qquad v^*(t,\xi) \;=\; \limsup_{\substack{(s,\eta)\to(t,\xi)\\ s<T}} v(s,\xi)$$

for all $(t,\xi) \in (0,T] \times L^2(\mathcal{F}^o; \mathbb{R}^n)$.

We denote by $\mathcal{C}^{1,2}_{b,loc}((0,T) \times L^2(\mathcal{F}^o; \mathbb{R}^n))$ the set of real-valued functions φ on $(0,T) \times L^2(\mathcal{F}^o; \mathbb{R}^n)$ which are $\mathcal{C}^{1,2}((0,T) \times L^2(\mathcal{F}^o; \mathbb{R}^n))$ in the sense of Fréchet, and φ, together with its derivatives $\dfrac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}$, $D\varphi$, $D^2\varphi$, is bounded on bounded subsets of $(0,T) \times L^2(\mathcal{F}^o; \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Definition 5.1 *We say that a locally bounded function $u : [0,T] \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbb{R}$ is a viscosity (super, sub) solution to* (5.8) *if its lifted function $v : [0,T] \times L^2(\mathcal{F}^o; \mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbb{R}$ is a viscosity (super, sub) solution to the lifted Bellman equation* (5.18)*, that is:*
(i) *$v_*(T,.) \geq \hat{g}$, and for any test function $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^{1,2}_{b,loc}((0,T) \times L^2(\mathcal{F}^o; \mathbb{R}^n))$ such that $v_* - \varphi$ has a minimum at $(t_0, \xi_0) \in (0,T) \times L^2(\mathcal{F}^o; \mathbb{R}^n)$, one has*

$$-\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}(t_0,\xi_0) + \mathcal{H}(\xi_0, D\varphi(t_0,\xi_0), D^2\varphi(t_0,\xi_0)) \;\geq\; 0.$$

(ii) *$v^*(T,.) \leq \hat{g}$, and for any test function $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^{1,2}_{b,loc}((0,T) \times L^2(\mathcal{F}^o; \mathbb{R}^n))$ such that $v^* - \varphi$ has a maximum at $(t_0, \xi_0) \in (0,T) \times L^2(\mathcal{F}^o; \mathbb{R}^n)$, one has*

$$-\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}(t_0,\xi_0) + \mathcal{H}(\xi_0, D\varphi(t_0,\xi_0), D^2\varphi(t_0,\xi_0)) \;\leq\; 0.$$

Remark 5.2 Notice that every test function in Definition 5.1 is also a test function in the sense of [21] (see Definition 3.32 in [21]). This will allow us to deduce a uniqueness result for viscosity solutions to the lifted Bellman equation (5.18) from Theorem 3.50 in [21], see Theorem 5.2 below. □

The main result of this section is to prove the viscosity characterization of the (inverse-lifted) value function v in (4.17) to the Bellman equation (5.18), hence equivalently the viscosity characterization of the value function $\mathcal{V}$ in (1.3) to the lifted Bellman equation (5.18). In addition to the standing conditions **(H1)**-**(H2)**, we shall make a uniform continuity assumption on the running gain function.

(H3) There exists some modulus m_f (namely, $m_f \geq 0$ and $m_f(\delta) \to 0$ when δ goes to zero) such that for all $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $a \in A$,

$$|f(x,a) - f(x',a)| \;\leq\; m_f\big(|x - x'|\big).$$

Theorem 5.2 *The value function $\mathcal{V}$ in* (1.3) *is the unique viscosity solution to the lifted Bellman equation* (5.18) *satisfying a quadratic growth condition* (1.4). *Moreover, $\mathcal{V}$ is continuous on* $(0,T)\times L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. Step I. *Viscosity property.* Let us first reformulate the randomized dynamic programming principle (4.26) for the lifted value function $\mathcal{V}$ on $(0,T]\times L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n)$. For this, we take a copy $\tilde{V}$ of V on the probability space $(\Omega^o,\mathcal{F}^o,\mathbb{P}^o)$, and given $(t,\xi,a)\in[0,T]\times L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n)\times A$, we consider on $(\tilde{\Omega}=\Omega^o\times\Omega,\tilde{\mathcal{F}}=\mathcal{F}^o\otimes\mathcal{F},\tilde{\mathbb{P}}=\mathbb{P}^o\otimes\mathbb{P})$ the solution $\tilde{X}^{t,\xi,a}$, $t\le s\le T$, to the equation

$$\tilde{X}_s^{t,\xi,a} \;=\; \xi+\int_t^s b(\tilde{X}_r^{t,\xi,a},I_r^{t,a})dr+\int_t^s \sigma_{_V}(\tilde{X}_r^{t,\xi,a},I_r^{t,a})d\tilde{V}_r+\int_t^s \sigma_{_W}(\tilde{X}_r^{t,\xi,a},I_r^{t,a})dW_r,\; t\le s\le T.$$

Thus, $\tilde{X}^{t,\xi,a}$ is a copy of $X^{t,\xi,a}$ on $(\tilde{\Omega},\tilde{\mathcal{F}},\tilde{\mathbb{P}})$, and denoting by $\check{X}_s^{t,\xi,a}(\omega)=\tilde{X}_s^{t,\xi,a}(.,\omega)$, $t\le s\le T$, we see that the process $\{\check{X}_s^{t,\xi,a},t\le s\le T\}$ is $\mathbb{F}^{W,\mu,t}$-progressive, valued in $L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $\mathcal{L}(\check{X}_s^{t,\xi,a}(\omega))$ $=\rho_s^{t,\pi,a}(\omega)$ for $\pi=\mathcal{L}(\xi)$. Therefore, the lifted value function on $[0,T]\times L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies $\mathcal{V}(s,\check{X}_s^{t,\xi,a})=v(s,\rho_s^{t,\pi,a})$, $t\le s\le T$. By noting that $\rho_s^{t,\pi,a}[f(.,\alpha_s)]=\mathbb{E}^o\big[f(\tilde{X}_s^{t,\xi,a},\alpha_s)\big]$, we obtain from (4.26) the lifted DPP: for all $(t,\xi)\in[0,T]\times L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{V}(t,\xi) \;&=\; \sup_{\nu\in\mathcal{V}_{W,\mu,t}}\sup_\theta \mathbb{E}^{\nu,t}\Big[\int_t^\theta \mathbb{E}^o\big[f(\tilde{X}_s^{t,\xi,a},I_s^{t,a})\big]ds \;+\; \mathcal{V}(\theta,\check{X}_\theta^{t,\xi,a})\Big]\\ &=\; \sup_{\nu\in\mathcal{V}_{W,\mu,t}}\inf_\theta \mathbb{E}^{\nu,t}\Big[\int_t^\theta \mathbb{E}^o\big[f(\tilde{X}_s^{t,\xi,a},I_s^{t,a})\big]ds \;+\; \mathcal{V}(\theta,\check{X}_\theta^{t,\xi,a})\Big], \qquad (5.20)\end{aligned}$$

for any $a\in A$, with θ varying in the class of $\mathbb{F}^{W,\mu,t}$-stopping times valued in $[t,T]$.

(1) *Supersolution property on* $(0,T)\times L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $(t_0,\xi_0)\in(0,T)\times L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n)$, and let $\varphi\in\mathcal{C}^{1,2}_{b,loc}((0,T)\times L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n))$ be such that

$$0 \;=\; (\mathcal{V}_*-\varphi)(t_0,\xi_0) \;=\; \min_{(t,\xi)\in(0,T)\times L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n)}(\mathcal{V}_*-\varphi)(t,\xi). \qquad (5.21)$$

By definition of $\mathcal{V}_*(t_0,\xi_0)$, we have

$$(t_m,\xi_m)\;\overset{m\to\infty}{\longrightarrow}\;(t_0,\xi_0)\qquad\text{and}\qquad \mathcal{V}(t_m,\xi_m)\;\overset{m\to\infty}{\longrightarrow}\;\mathcal{V}_*(t_0,\xi_0),$$

for some sequence $(t_m,\xi_m)_m$ in $(0,T)\times L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n)$. From (5.21) and the continuity of φ,

$$\gamma_m \;:=\; \mathcal{V}(t_m,\xi_m)-\varphi(t_m,\xi_m)\;\overset{m\to\infty}{\longrightarrow}\;0,$$

Fix $a\in A$, and let $\tau_m:\Omega\to[t_m,T]\cup\{+\infty\}$ be the $\mathbb{F}^{W,\mu,t_m}$-stopping time given by

$$\tau_m(\omega) \;:=\; \inf\Big\{s\ge t_m:\mathbb{E}^o\big[\big|\check{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a}(\omega)-\xi_m\big|^2\big]^{1/2}\;\ge\;\eta/2\Big\},$$

with the convention $\inf\emptyset=+\infty$, where η is some positive constant. Let also $(h_m)_m$ be a sequence of strictly positive real numbers such that

$$h_m\;\overset{m\to\infty}{\longrightarrow}\;0\qquad\text{and}\qquad \frac{\gamma_m}{h_m}\;\overset{m\to\infty}{\longrightarrow}\;0.$$

By the dynamic programming principle (5.20), we obtain

$$\mathcal{V}(t_m,\xi_m)\;\ge\;\mathbb{E}^{\nu,t_m}\Big[\int_{t_m}^{\theta_m}\mathbb{E}^o\big[f\big(\tilde{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a},I_s^{t_m,a}\big)\big]ds\;+\;\mathcal{V}\big(\theta_m,\check{X}_{\theta_m}^{t_m,\xi_m,a}\big)\Big],$$

for any $\nu \in \mathcal{V}_{W,\mu,t_m}$, where $\theta_m := \tau_m \wedge (t_m + h_m) \wedge T_{m,1}$, and $T_{m,1}$ denotes the first jump time of $I^{t_m,a}$. In particular, we take $\nu \equiv 1$, so that $\mathbb{E}^{\nu,t_m}$ is the expectation $\mathbb{E}$ with respect to $\mathbb{P}$. Equation (5.21) implies that $\mathcal{U} \geq \mathcal{U}_* \geq \varphi$, thus

$$\varphi(t_m,\xi_m) + \gamma_m \;\geq\; \mathbb{E}\Big[\int_{t_m}^{\theta_m} \mathbb{E}^o\big[f\big(\tilde{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a}, I_s^{t_m,a}\big)\big]ds \;+\; \varphi\big(\theta_m, \check{X}_{\theta_m}^{t_m,\xi_m,a}\big)\Big]. \tag{5.22}$$

On the other hand, applying Itô's formula (5.7) to $\varphi(\cdot, \check{X}_\cdot^{t_m,\xi_m,a})$ between t_m and θ_m, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(\theta_m, \check{X}_{\theta_m}^{t_m,\xi_m,a}) \;=\; & \varphi(t_m,\xi_m) + \int_{t_m}^{\theta_m} \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}(s, \check{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a})\,ds \\
& + \int_{t_m}^{\theta_m} \mathbb{E}^o\big[D\varphi(s, \check{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a}).b_s(\tilde{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a}, I_s^{t_m,a})\big]ds \\
& + \int_{t_m}^{\theta_m} \mathbb{E}^o\Big[\frac{1}{2}D^2\varphi(s, \check{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a})(\sigma_s^V(\tilde{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a}, I_s^{t_m,a})N).\sigma_s^V(\tilde{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a}, I_s^{t_m,a})N\Big]ds \\
& + \int_{t_m}^{\theta_m} \mathbb{E}^o\Big[\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\big[D^2\varphi(s, \check{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a})(\sigma_s^W(\tilde{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a}, I_s^{t_m,a})).\sigma_s^W(\tilde{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a}, I_s^{t_m,a})\big]\Big]ds \\
& + \int_{t_m}^{\theta_m} \mathbb{E}^o\big[D\varphi(s, \check{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a})^\intercal \sigma_s^W(\tilde{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a}, I_s^{t_m,a})\big]dW_s,
\end{aligned}$$

for any Gaussian random variable $N \in L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^m)$ independent of $(\tilde{V}, \xi_m)$, with zero mean and unit variance. Without loss of generality, we assume that N is independent of ξ_m for every m. Therefore, taking expectation in (5.22), and noting that the stochastic integral with respect to W vanishes by the localization with the stopping time θ_m, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\gamma_m}{h_m} - \mathbb{E}\Big[\frac{1}{h_m}\int_{t_m}^{\theta_m} \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}(s, \check{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a})\,ds\Big] \\
& + \mathbb{E}\Big[\frac{1}{h_m}\int_{t_m}^{\theta_m} \mathbb{E}^o\Big[- D\varphi(s, \check{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a}).b_s(\tilde{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a}, I_s^{t_m,a}) \\
& - \frac{1}{2}D^2\varphi(s, \check{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a})(\sigma_s^V(\tilde{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a}, I_s^{t_m,a})N).\sigma_s^V(\tilde{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a}, I_s^{t_m,a})N \\
& - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\big[D^2\varphi(s, \check{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a})(\sigma_s^W(\tilde{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a}, I_s^{t_m,a})).\sigma_s^W(\tilde{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a}, I_s^{t_m,a})\big] \\
& - f(\tilde{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a}, I_s^{t_m,a})\Big]ds\Big] \;\geq\; 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.23}$$

Now we notice that, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s., $I_r^{t_m,a} = a$ for $r \in [t_m, \theta_m]$. Moreover, $\mathbb{E}\big[\mathbb{E}^o\big[\big|\check{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a} - \xi_m\big|^2\big]\big] \to 0$, therefore, up to a subsequence, $\mathbb{E}^o\big[\big|\check{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a}(\omega) - \xi_m\big|^2\big] \to 0$, $\mathbb{P}(d\omega)$-a.s., so that, $\mathbb{P}(d\omega)$-a.s., $\theta_m(\omega) = t_m + h_m$ when m is sufficiently large ($m \geq M(\omega)$). Thus, by the mean value theorem, the random variable inside the expectation $\mathbb{E}$ in (5.23) converges $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. to

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}(t_0,\xi_0) - \mathbb{E}^o\Big[D\varphi(t_0,\xi_0).b_{t_0}(\xi_0,a) + \frac{1}{2}D^2\varphi(t_0,\xi_0)(\sigma_{t_0}^V(\xi_0,a)N).\sigma_{t_0}^V(\xi_0,a)N \\
& \qquad + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\big[D^2\varphi(t_0,\xi_0)(\sigma_{t_0}^W(\xi_0,a)).\sigma_{t_0}^W(\xi_0,a)\big] + f(\xi_0,a)\Big],
\end{aligned}$$

when m goes to infinity. Then, by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we obtain from (5.23)

$$- \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}(t_0,\xi_0) - \mathbb{E}^o\Big[D\varphi(t_0,\xi_0).b_{t_0}(\xi_0,a) + \frac{1}{2}D^2\varphi(t_0,\xi_0)(\sigma_{t_0}^V(\xi_0,a)N).\sigma_{t_0}^V(\xi_0,a)N$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\big[D^2\varphi(t_0,\xi_0)(\sigma^W_{t_0}(\xi_0,a)).\sigma^W_{t_0}(\xi_0,a)\big]+f(\xi_0,a)\Big] \;\geq\; 0.$$

The claim follows from the arbitrariness of $a\in A$.

(2) *Supersolution property: terminal condition.* Fix $\xi_0\in L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n)$ and let us prove that $v_*(T,\xi_0)\geq\hat{g}(\xi_0)$. From the definition of $\mathcal{V}_*(T,\xi_0)$, we have

$$(t_m,\xi_m)\;\overset{m\to\infty}{\longrightarrow}\;(T,\xi_0)\qquad\text{and}\qquad \mathcal{V}(t_m,\xi_m)\;\overset{m\to\infty}{\longrightarrow}\;\mathcal{V}_*(T,\xi_0),$$

for some sequence $(t_m,\xi_m)_m$ in $(0,T)\times L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n)$. Fix $a\in A$. By the dynamic programming principle (5.20),

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{V}(t_m,\xi_m)\;&\geq\;\sup_{\nu\in\mathcal{V}_{W,\mu,t_m}}\mathbb{E}^{\nu,t_m}\bigg[\int_{t_m}^T\mathbb{E}^o\big[f(\tilde{X}^{t_m,\xi_m,a}_s,I^{t_m,a}_s)\big]ds\;+\;\mathbb{E}^o\big[g(\tilde{X}^{t_m,\xi_m,a}_T)\big]\bigg]\\&\geq\;\mathbb{E}\bigg[\int_{t_m}^T\mathbb{E}^o\big[f\big(\tilde{X}^{t_m,\xi_m,a}_s,I^{t_m,a}_s\big)\big]ds\;+\;\mathbb{E}^o\big[g\big(\tilde{X}^{t_m,\xi_m,a}_T\big)\big]\bigg],\end{aligned}\tag{5.24}$$

where the inequality follows taking $\nu\equiv 1$. Now, from the quadratic growth condition **(H2)** and estimate (3.6), we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}\bigg[\int_{t_m}^T\mathbb{E}^o\big[f\big(\tilde{X}^{t_m,\xi_m,a}_s,I^{t_m,a}_s\big)\big]ds\bigg]\;\overset{m\to\infty}{\longrightarrow}\;0.$$

On the other hand, from the continuity of g and the fact that $\mathbb{E}\big[\mathbb{E}^o\big[\big|\tilde{X}^{t_m,\xi_m,a}_T-\xi_0\big|^2\big]\big]\to 0$, it follows that $g(\tilde{X}^{t_m,\xi_m,a}_T)$ converges in $\mathbb{P}\otimes\mathbb{P}^o$-probability to $g(\xi_0)$. By the quadratic growth condition **(H2)** and estimate (3.6), we obtain from (5.24), using the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem,

$$\mathcal{V}_*(T,\xi_0)\;=\;\lim_{m\to\infty}\mathcal{V}(t_m,\xi_m)\;\geq\;\lim_{m\to\infty}\mathbb{E}\big[\mathbb{E}^o\big[g\big(\tilde{X}^{t_m,\xi_m,a}_T\big)\big]\big]\;=\;\lim_{m\to\infty}\mathbb{E}\big[\hat{g}\big(\tilde{X}^{t_m,\xi_m,a}_T\big)\big]\;=\;\hat{g}(\xi_0).$$

(3) *Subsolution property on* $(0,T)\times L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $(t_0,\xi_0)\in(0,T)\times L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\varphi\in\mathcal{C}^{1,2}_{b,loc}((0,T)\times L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n))$ be such that

$$0\;=\;(\mathcal{V}^*-\varphi)(t_0,\xi_0)\;=\;\max_{(t,\xi)\in(0,T)\times L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n)}(\mathcal{V}^*-\varphi)(t,\xi).\tag{5.25}$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume that (t_0,ξ_0) is a strict maximum of $\mathcal{V}^*-\varphi$. Then, for any $\eta>0$, we can define $\beta(\eta)$ as follows:

$$\max_{\partial B((t_0,\xi_0);\eta)}(\mathcal{V}^*-\varphi)\;=:\;-\beta(\eta)\;<\;0,$$

where

$$\partial B((t_0,\xi_0);\eta)\;=\;\Big\{(t,\xi)\in(0,T)\times L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n)\colon\;\max\big(|t-t_0|,\mathbb{E}^o\big[|\xi-\xi_0|^2\big]^{1/2}\big)\;=\;\eta\Big\}.$$

We will proceed by contradiction, assuming that

$$-\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}(t_0,\xi_0)+\mathcal{H}(\xi_0,D\varphi(t_0,\xi_0),D^2\varphi(t_0,\xi_0))\;>\;0.\tag{5.26}$$

Then by the continuity of the function $\mathcal{H}$ on the interior of its domain, there exists $\eta>0$, with corresponding $\beta(\eta)>0$, and $\varepsilon\in(0,\,\beta(\eta)]$ such that

$$-\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}(t,\xi)+\mathcal{H}(\xi,D\varphi(t,\xi),D^2\varphi(t,\xi))\;\geq\;\varepsilon,\tag{5.27}$$

for all $(t,\xi) \in B((t_0,\xi_0);\eta) = \{(t,\xi) \in (0,T) \times L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n)\colon |t_0 - t| < \eta,\ \mathbb{E}^o\big[|\xi - \xi_0|^2\big]^{1/2} < \eta\}$.

By definition of $\mathcal{V}^*(t_0,\xi_0)$, there exists a sequence $(t_m,\xi_m)_m$ taking values in $B((t_0,\xi_0);\eta)$ such that

$$(t_m,\xi_m) \overset{m\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} (t_0,\xi_0) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathcal{V}(t_m,\xi_m) \overset{m\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{V}^*(t_0,\xi_0).$$

By the continuity of φ and using (5.25), we also obtain

$$\gamma_m \ := \ \mathcal{V}(t_m,\xi_m) - \varphi(t_m,\xi_m) \overset{m\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0.$$

Fix $a \in A$. Using the dynamic programming principle (5.20), we find

$$\mathcal{V}(t_m,\xi_m) \ \le \ \sup_{\nu\in\mathcal{V}_{W,\mu,t_m}} \mathbb{E}^{\nu,t_m}\bigg[\int_{t_m}^{\theta_m} \mathbb{E}^o\big[f\big(\tilde{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a}, I_s^{t_m,a}\big)\big]ds \ + \ \mathcal{V}\big(\theta_m, \check{X}_{\theta_m}^{t_m,\xi_m,a}\big)\bigg],$$

with $\theta_m := \tau_m \wedge T$, where $\tau_m := \inf\{s \ge t_m\colon (s,\check{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a}) \notin B((t_0,\xi_0);\eta/2)\}$. In particular, recalling (5.25), there exists $\nu_m \in \mathcal{V}_{W,\mu,t_m}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varphi(t_m,\xi_m) + \gamma_m \\
&\le \ \mathbb{E}^{\nu_m,t_m}\bigg[\int_{t_m}^{\theta_m} \mathbb{E}^o\big[f\big(\tilde{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a}, I_s^{t_m,a}\big)\big]ds \ + \ \varphi\big(\theta_m, \check{X}_{\theta_m}^{t_m,\xi_m,a}\big) - \beta(\eta)\,1_{\{\tau_m<T\}}\bigg] + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}(T-t_m).
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Itô's formula (5.7) to $\varphi(\cdot,\check{X}_\cdot^{t_m,\xi_m,a})$ between t_m and θ_m, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_m - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}(T-t_m) - \mathbb{E}^{\nu_m,t_m}\bigg[\int_{t_m}^{\theta_m} \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}(s,\check{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a})\,ds\bigg] + \beta(\eta)\,\mathbb{E}^{\nu_m,t_m}\big[1_{\{\tau_m<T\}}\,(\theta_m - t_m)\big] \\
-\mathbb{E}^{\nu_m,t_m}\bigg[\int_{t_m}^{\theta_m} \mathbb{E}^o\bigg[f(\tilde{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a}, I_s^{t_m,a}) + D\varphi(s,\check{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a}).b_s(\tilde{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a}, I_s^{t_m,a}) \\
+\frac{1}{2}D^2\varphi(s,\check{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a})(\sigma_s^V(\tilde{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a}, I_s^{t_m,a})N).\sigma_s^V(\tilde{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a}, I_s^{t_m,a})N \\
+\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\big[D^2\varphi(s,\check{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a})(\sigma_s^W(\tilde{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a}, I_s^{t_m,a})).\sigma_s^W(\tilde{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a}, I_s^{t_m,a})\big]\bigg]ds\bigg] \\
-\mathbb{E}^{\nu_m,t_m}\bigg[\int_{t_m}^{\theta_m} \mathbb{E}^o\Big[D\varphi(s,\check{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a})^\intercal \sigma_s^W(\tilde{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a}, I_s^{t_m,a})\Big]dW_s\bigg] \ \le \ 0. \qquad (5.28)
\end{aligned}$$

Denote

$$\mathcal{L}^b(\xi,P,\Gamma) \ = \ \mathbb{E}^o\big[f(\xi,b) + P.b(\xi,b) + \tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma(\sigma_{_V}(\xi,b)N).\sigma_{_V}(\xi,b)N + \tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}[\Gamma(\sigma_{_W}(\xi,b)).\sigma_{_W}(\xi,b)]\big],$$

for every $(\xi,P,\Gamma,b) \in L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n) \times S(L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n)) \times A$, for any Gaussian random variable $N \in L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^m)$ independent of $(\tilde{V},\xi)$, with zero mean and unit variance. Notice that

$$\mathcal{H}(\xi,P,\Gamma) \ = \ -\sup_{b\in A} \mathcal{L}^b(\xi,P,\Gamma).$$

Therefore, inequality (5.28) reads

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}^{\nu_m,t_m}\bigg[\int_{t_m}^{\theta_m} \Big(-\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}(s,\check{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a}) - \mathcal{L}^{I_s^{t_m,a}}\big(s, D\varphi(s,\check{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a}), D^2\varphi(s,\check{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a})\big)\Big)\,ds\bigg] \\
&+ \gamma_m - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}(T-t_m) + \beta(\eta)\,\mathbb{E}^{\nu_m,t_m}\big[1_{\{\tau_m<T\}}\,(\theta_m - t_m)\big] \ \le \ 0. \qquad (5.29)
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}(s,\check{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a})-\mathcal{L}^{I_s^{t_m,a}}\big(s,D\varphi(s,\check{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a}),D^2\varphi(s,\check{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a})\big)\\
&\geq\ -\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}(s,\check{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a})-\sup_{b\in A}\mathcal{L}^b\big(s,D\varphi(s,\check{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a}),D^2\varphi(s,\check{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a})\big)\\
&=\ -\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}(s,\check{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a})+\mathcal{H}\big(s,D\varphi(s,\check{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a}),D^2\varphi(s,\check{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a})\big)\ \geq\ \varepsilon,
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from (5.27). Therefore (5.29) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_m-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}(T-t_m)\ &\leq\ -\varepsilon\,\mathbb{E}^{\nu_m,t_m}[\theta_m-t_m]-\beta(\eta)\,\mathbb{E}^{\nu_m,t_m}\big[1_{\{\tau_m<T\}}(\theta_m-t_m)\big]\\
&\leq\ -\varepsilon\,\mathbb{E}^{\nu_m,t_m}[\theta_m-t_m]-\varepsilon\,\mathbb{E}^{\nu_m,t_m}\big[1_{\{\tau_m<T\}}(\theta_m-t_m)\big]\\
&=\ -\varepsilon\,\mathbb{E}^{\nu_m,t_m}\big[1_{\{\theta_m=T\}}(\theta_m-t_m)\big]\ =\ -\varepsilon\,(T-t_m).
\end{aligned}$$

Sending $m\to\infty$ we get the contradiction $-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}(T-t_0)\leq-\varepsilon(T-t_0)$.

(4) *Subsolution property: terminal condition.* Fix $\xi_0\in L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n)$ and let us prove that $v^*(T,\xi_0)\leq\hat{g}(\xi_0)$. From the definition of $\mathcal{V}^*(T,\xi_0)$, we have

$$(t_m,\xi_m)\ \overset{m\to\infty}{\longrightarrow}\ (T,\xi_0)\qquad\text{and}\qquad \mathcal{V}(t_m,\xi_m)\ \overset{m\to\infty}{\longrightarrow}\ \mathcal{V}^*(T,\xi_0),$$

for some sequence $(t_m,\xi_m)_m$ in $(0,T)\times L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n)$. Fix $a\in A$. By the dynamic programming principle (5.20),

$$\mathcal{V}(t_m,\xi_m)\ \leq\ \sup_{\nu\in\mathcal{V}_{W,\mu,t_m}}\mathbb{E}^{\nu,t_m}\bigg[\int_{t_m}^T\mathbb{E}^o\big[f(\tilde{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a},I_s^{t_m,a})\big]ds\ +\ \mathbb{E}^o\big[g(\tilde{X}_T^{t_m,\xi_m,a})\big]\bigg].$$

In particular, given $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $\nu_m\in\mathcal{V}_{W,\mu,t_m}$ such that

$$\mathcal{V}(t_m,\xi_m)\ \leq\ \mathbb{E}^{\nu_m,t_m}\bigg[\int_{t_m}^T\mathbb{E}^o\big[f\big(\tilde{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a},I_s^{t_m,a}\big)\big]ds\ +\ \mathbb{E}^o\big[g\big(\tilde{X}_T^{t_m,\xi_m,a}\big)\big]\bigg]+\varepsilon. \tag{5.30}$$

From the quadratic growth condition **(H2)** and estimate (3.8), we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}^{\nu_m,t_m}\bigg[\int_{t_m}^T\mathbb{E}^o\big[f\big(\tilde{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a},I_s^{t_m,a}\big)\big]ds\bigg]\ =\ \int_{t_m}^T\bar{\mathbb{E}}^{\nu_m,t_m}\big[f\big(\tilde{X}_s^{t_m,\xi_m,a},I_s^{t_m,a}\big)\big]ds\ \overset{m\to\infty}{\longrightarrow}\ 0.$$

On the other hand, by standard estimate on $\tilde{X}^{t,\xi,a}$, we notice that

$$\sup_{\nu\in\mathcal{V}_{W,\mu,t_m}}\mathbb{E}^{\nu,t_m}\big[\mathbb{E}^o\big[\big|\tilde{X}_T^{t_m,\xi_m,a}-\xi_0\big|^2\big]\big]\ \overset{m\to\infty}{\longrightarrow}\ 0,$$

which implies from the quadratic growth condition **(H2)** and the continuity of g that

$$\sup_{\nu\in\mathcal{V}_{W,\mu,t_m}}\mathbb{E}^{\nu,t_m}\big[\mathbb{E}^o\big[\big|g\big(\tilde{X}_T^{t_m,\xi_m,a}\big)-g(\xi_0)\big|\big]\big]\ \overset{m\to\infty}{\longrightarrow}\ 0.$$

Hence, letting $m\to\infty$ in (5.30),

$$\mathcal{V}^*(T,\xi_0)\ \leq\ \mathbb{E}^o\big[g(\xi_0)\big]+\varepsilon\ =\ \hat{g}(\xi_0)+\varepsilon.$$

The claim follows from the arbitrariness of ε.

Step II. *Uniqueness and continuity of $\mathcal{V}$.* In view of our definition of viscosity solution, we have to show a comparison principle for viscosity solutions to the lifted Bellman equation (5.18). We use the comparison principle proved in Theorem 3.50 in [21] and check that the hypotheses of this theorem are satisfied in our context for the lifted Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ defined in (5.19). Notice that the Bellman equation (5.18) is a bounded equation in the terminology of [21] (see their section 3.3.1) meaning that there is no linear dissipative operator on $L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n)$ in the equation. Therefore, the notion of B-continuity reduces to the standard notion of continuity in $L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n)$ since one can take for B the identity operator. Their Hypothesis 3.44 follows from the uniform continuity of b, σ, and f in **(H1)** and **(H3)**. Hypothesis 3.45 is immediately satisfied since there is no discount factor in our equation, i.e. $\mathcal{H}$ does not depend on $\mathcal{V}$ but only on its derivatives. The monotonicity condition in $\Gamma \in S(L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n))$ of $\mathcal{H}$ in Hypothesis 3.46 is clearly satisfied. Hypothesis 3.47 holds directly when dealing with bounded equations. Hypothesis 3.48 is obtained from the Lipschitz condition of b, σ in **(H1)**, and the uniform continuity condition on f in **(H3)**, while Hypothesis 3.49 follows from the growth condition of σ in **(H1)**.

We can then apply Theorem 3.50 in [21] and deduce that given any other viscosity solution $\mathcal{U}$ to the lifted Bellman equation (5.18) we have:

$$\mathcal{V}_* \;\geq\; \mathcal{U}^* \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathcal{U}_* \;\geq\; \mathcal{V}^*,$$

on $(0,T] \times L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n)$. Since $\mathcal{V}^* \geq \mathcal{V}_*$ and $\mathcal{U}^* \geq \mathcal{U}_*$, we get $\mathcal{V}_* = \mathcal{U}^* = \mathcal{U}_* = \mathcal{V}^*$ on $(0,T] \times L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n)$. We conclude that $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}^* = \mathcal{V}_*$ and $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}^* = \mathcal{U}_*$ are continuous on $(0,T)\times L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{U}$ on $(0,T) \times L^2(\mathcal{F}^o;\mathbb{R}^n)$. □

6 Application: a partially observed non Gaussian LQ model

We consider a model where the observation process $(O_t)_t$ valued in $\mathbb{R}^d$ is governed by a diffusion process driven by the d-dimensional Brownian motion W:

$$dO_t \;=\; \kappa(O_t)dt + \gamma(O_t)dW_t, \quad t \geq 0,\; O_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Under suitable standard assumptions on κ and the invertible matrix coefficient γ, there exists a unique strong solution to the above SDE for O, and the observation filtration $\mathbb{F}^O$ generated by O is equal to $\mathbb{F}^W$, the natural filtration of W. We then consider a model (1.1) for the controlled signal process $X = X^\alpha$ governed by the m-dimensional (unobserved) Brownian motion $V = (V^1, \ldots, V^m)$, and the d-dimensional Brownian motion $W = (W^1, \ldots, W^d)$, with linear dynamics:

$$\begin{aligned} dX_t \;=\; & (b_0 + BX_t + C\alpha_t)dt + \sum_{i=1}^m (\gamma_{_V}^i + D_{_V}^i X_t + F_{_V}^i \alpha_t)dV_t^i \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^d (\gamma_{_W}^j + D_{_W}^j X_t + F_{_W}^j \alpha_t)dW_t^j, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T,\; X_0 = x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

and control $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^W$, valued in $A = \mathbb{R}^q$, and progressively measurable with respect to $\mathbb{F}^O = \mathbb{F}^W$. Here, b_0, $\gamma_{_V}^i$, $\gamma_{_W}^j$ are constant vectors in $\mathbb{R}^n$, B, $D_{_V}^i$, $D_{_W}^j$, are constant matrices in $\mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$, C, $F_{_V}^i$, $F_{_W}^j$ are constant matrices in $\mathbb{R}^{n\times q}$. Notice that due to the affine terms in the diffusion coefficients,

the pair of processes signal/observation (X, W) is not Gaussian (even in the absence of control), hence we do not have a finite dimensional representation of the filter process (law of X given W) as in the Kalman-Bucy filter. The cost functional to be minimize over $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^W$ is

$$J(\alpha) \;=\; \mathbb{E}\Big[\int_0^T \big(X_t^\intercal Q X_t + \alpha_t^\intercal N \alpha_t\big)dt + X_T^\intercal P X_T\Big] \;\to\; \mathcal{V}_0 = \inf_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}^W} J(\alpha), \tag{6.2}$$

where Q, P are constant valued in $\mathbb{S}^n$, the set of symmetric matrices in $\mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$, and N is constant valued in $\mathbb{S}^q$. We shall make the following assumptions on the coefficients of the model:

(C1) Q, P, N are nonnegative a.s.

(C2) One of the two following conditions holds:

(i) N is uniformly positive definite i.e. $N \geq \delta I_q$, for some $\delta > 0$,

(ii) P or Q is uniformly positive definite, and $F_{\scriptscriptstyle V}^i$ is uniformly nondegenerate, i.e. $|F_{\scriptscriptstyle V}^i| \geq \delta_i$, for some $i = 1, \ldots, m$, and $\delta_i > 0$.

We cannot use the classical results in [6] for the linear quadratic (LQ) Gaussian partial observation framework, and instead we show how one can derive explicit solutions by solving the Bellman equation (5.8) in our non Gaussian LQ model (6.1)-(6.2). Let us introduce some notations. For any $\pi \in \mathcal{P}_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we denote by

$$\bar\pi \;:=\; \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x\pi(dx),$$

and define the functions on $\mathcal{P}_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}(\mathbb{R}^n)\times\mathbb{S}^n$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}(\mathbb{R}^n)\times\mathbb{R}^n$ by:

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\mathrm{Var}(\pi, k) & := & \displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (x-\bar\pi)^\intercal k (x-\pi)\pi(dx), \quad \pi \in \mathcal{P}_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}(\mathbb{R}^n),\ k \in \mathbb{S}^n \\
v_2(\pi, \ell) & := & \bar\pi^\intercal \ell \bar\pi, \quad \pi \in \mathcal{P}_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}(\mathbb{R}^n),\ \ell \in \mathbb{S}^n \\
v_1(\pi, y) & := & y^\intercal \bar\pi, \quad \pi \in \mathcal{P}_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}(\mathbb{R}^n),\ y \in \mathbb{R}^n.
\end{array}$$

We look for a value function solution to the Bellman equation (5.8) in the form

$$w(t,\pi) \;=\; \mathrm{Var}(\pi, K(t)) + v_2(\pi, \Lambda(t)) + v_1(\pi, Y(t)) + \chi(t), \tag{6.3}$$

for some functions $K, \Lambda \in C^1([0,T]; \mathbb{S}^n)$, $Y \in C^1([0,T]; \mathbb{R}^n)$, and $\chi \in C^1([0,T]; \mathbb{R})$ to be determined. One easily checks that w lies in $\mathcal{C}_b^{1,2}([0,T]\times\mathcal{P}_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ with

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\partial_t w(t,\pi) & = & \mathrm{Var}(\mu, K'(t)) + v_2(\pi, \Lambda'(t)) + v_1(\pi, Y'(t)) + \chi'(t), \\
\partial_\pi w(t,\pi)(x) & = & 2K(t)(x-\bar\pi) + 2\Lambda(t)\bar\pi + Y(t), \\
\partial_x\partial_\pi w(t,\pi)(x) & = & 2K(t), \\
\partial_\mu^2 w(t,\pi)(x,x') & = & 2(\Lambda(t) - K(t)).
\end{array}$$

Together with the quadratic expression of the running and terminal costs f, g in (6.2), and the linear form of the drift coefficient b, and diffusion coefficients $\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle V}$, $\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle W}$ in (6.1), we then see after some tedious but direct calculations that w satisfies the Bellman equation (5.8) iff

$$\mathrm{Var}(\pi, K(T)) + v_2(\pi, \Lambda(T)) + v_1(\pi, Y(T)) + \chi(T) \;=\; \mathrm{Var}(\pi, P) + v_2(\pi, P), \tag{6.4}$$

holds for all $\pi \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Var}\big(\pi, K'(t) + Q + \sum_{i=1}^m (D_V^i)^\intercal K(t) D_V^i + \sum_{j=1}^d (D_W^j)^\intercal K(t) D_W^j + K(t)B + B^\intercal K(t)\big) \\
&+ v_2\big(\pi, \Lambda'(t) + Q + \sum_{i=1}^m (D_V^i)^\intercal K(t) D_V^i + \sum_{j=1}^d (D_W^j)^\intercal \Lambda(t) D_W^j + \Lambda(t)B + B^\intercal \Lambda(t)\big) \\
&+ v_1\big(\pi, Y'(t) + B^\intercal Y(t) + \sum_{i=1}^m 2(D_V^i)^\intercal K(t)\gamma_V^i + 2\sum_{j=1}^d (D_W^j)^\intercal \Lambda(t)\gamma_W^j + 2\Lambda(t)b_0\big) \\
&+ \chi'(t) \ + \ Y(t)^\intercal b_0 + \sum_{i=1}^m (\gamma_V^i)^\intercal K(t)\gamma_V^i + \sum_{j=1}^d (\gamma_W^j)^\intercal \Lambda(t)\gamma_W^j + \inf_{a\in\mathbb{R}^q} G_t^\pi(a) \\
= \ & 0, \qquad (6.5)
\end{aligned}$$

holds for all $t \in [0,T)$, $\pi \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where the function $G_t^\pi : \mathbb{R}^q \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$G_t^\pi(a) \ = \ a^\intercal \Gamma(K(t),\Lambda(t))a + \big[2U(K(t),\Lambda(t))^\intercal \bar\pi + R(K(t),\Lambda(t),Y(t))\big]^\intercal a$$

with

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl}
\Gamma(k,\ell) &=& N + \sum_{i=1}^m (F_V^i)^\intercal k F_V^i + \sum_{j=1}^d (F_W^j)^\intercal \ell F_W^j \\
U(k,\ell) &=& \sum_{i=1}^m (D_V^i)^\intercal k F_V^i + \sum_{j=1}^d (D_W^j)^\intercal \ell F_W^j + \ell C \\
R(k,\ell,y) &=& \sum_{i=1}^m 2(F_V^i)^\intercal k \gamma_V^i + \sum_{j=1}^d 2(F_W^j)^\intercal \ell \gamma_W^j + C^\intercal y,
\end{array}\right. \qquad (6.6)$$

for $(k,\ell) \in \mathbb{S}^n$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then, under the condition that the symmetric matrice $\Gamma(k,\ell)$ is positive definite in $\mathbb{S}^q$ (this will be checked later on), we get after square completion:

$$\begin{aligned}
G_t^\pi(a) \ = \ & (a - \hat a(\pi, K(t),\Lambda(t),Y(t))^\intercal \Gamma(K(t),\Lambda(t))(a - \hat a(\pi, K(t),\Lambda(t),Y(t)) \\
& - v_2\big(\pi, U(K(t),\Lambda(t))\Gamma^{-1}(K(t),\Lambda(t))U(K(t),\Lambda(t))\big) \\
& - v_1\big(\pi, U(K(t),\Lambda(t))\Gamma^{-1}(K(t),\Lambda(t))R(K(t),\Lambda(t),Y(t))\big) \\
& - \frac{1}{4} R^\intercal(K(t),\Lambda(t),Y(t))\Gamma^{-1}(K(t),\Lambda(t))R(K(t),\Lambda(t),Y(t))
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\hat a(\pi,k,\ell,y) \ = \ -\Gamma^{-1}(k,\ell)\big[U^\intercal(k,\ell)\bar\pi + \frac{1}{2}R(k,\ell,y)\big] \qquad (6.7)$$

This means that G_t^π attains its infimum at $\hat a(\pi, K(t),\Lambda(t),Y(t))$, and plugging the above expression of $G_t^\pi(\hat a(\pi, K(t),\Lambda(t),Y(t)))$ in (6.5), we observe that the relation (6.4)-(6.5), hence the Bellman equation (5.8), is satisfied by identifying the terms in $\mathrm{Var}(\pi,.)$, $v_2(\pi,.)$, $v_1(\pi,.)$, which leads to the system of ordinary differential equations (ODEs) for (K,Λ,Y,χ):

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl}
K'(t) + Q + \sum_{i=1}^m (D_V^i)^\intercal K(t) D_V^i + \sum_{j=1}^d (D_W^j)^\intercal K(t) D_W^j + K(t)B + B^\intercal K(t) &=& 0 \\
K(T) &=& P,
\end{array}\right. \qquad (6.8)$$

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl} \Lambda'(t)+Q+\sum_{i=1}^m (D_{_V}^i)^\intercal K(t) D_{_V}^i + \sum_{j=1}^d (D_{_W}^j)^\intercal \Lambda(t) D_{_W}^j + \Lambda(t)B + B^\intercal \Lambda(t) & & \\ \qquad - U(K(t),\Lambda(t))\Gamma^{-1}(K(t),\Lambda(t))U(K(t),\Lambda(t)) &=& 0 \\ \Lambda(T) &=& P, \end{array}\right. \tag{6.9}$$

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl} Y'(t)+B^\intercal Y(t) + \sum_{i=1}^m 2(D_{_V}^i)^\intercal K(t)\gamma_{_V}^i + 2\sum_{j=1}^d (D_{_W}^j)^\intercal \Lambda(t)\gamma_{_W}^j + 2\Lambda(t)b_0 & & \\ \qquad - U(K(t),\Lambda(t))\Gamma^{-1}(K(t),\Lambda(t))R(K(t),\Lambda(t),Y(t)) &=& 0, \\ Y(T) &=& 0 \end{array}\right. \tag{6.10}$$

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl} \chi'(t) \ + \ Y(t)^\intercal b_0 + \sum_{i=1}^m (\gamma_{_V}^i)^\intercal K(t)\gamma_{_V}^i + \sum_{j=1}^d (\gamma_{_W}^j)^\intercal \Lambda(t)\gamma_{_W}^j & & \\ -\frac{1}{4}R^\intercal(K(t),\Lambda(t),Y(t))\Gamma^{-1}(K(t),\Lambda(t))R(K(t),\Lambda(t),Y(t)) &=& 0, \\ \chi(T) &=& 0. \end{array}\right. \tag{6.11}$$

Let us now show, under conditions **(C1)** and **(C2)**, the existence (and uniqueness) of a solution to the above system of ODEs. Notice that this system is decoupled:

(i) One first considers the linear ODE (6.8) for K, which is symmetric (in the sense that the ODE for $K^\intercal$ is the same). Since the terminal condition P is nonnegative as well as the running term Q under condition **(C1)**, it is clear that there exists a unique solution $K \in C^1([0,T],\mathbb{S}^n)$ to (6.8), and this solution is nonnegative.

(ii) Given K, we next consider the ODE for Λ with generator $\ell \in \mathbb{S}^n \mapsto Q + \sum_{i=1}^m (D_{_V}^i)^\intercal K(t) D_{_V}^i + \sum_{j=1}^d (D_{_W}^j)^\intercal \ell D_{_W}^j + \ell B + B^\intercal \ell - U_t(K_t,\ell,z)\Gamma_t^{-1}(K_t,\ell)U_t^\intercal(K_t,\ell,z) \in \mathbb{S}^n$, and terminal condition P. This is a Riccati equation, and it is well-known (see e.g. [9]) that it is associated to a stochastic standard LQ control problem with controlled linear dynamics:

$$d\tilde{X}_t \ = \ (B\tilde{X}_t + C\alpha_t)dt + \sum_{j=1}^d (D_{_W}^j \tilde{X}_t + F_{_W}^i \alpha_t) dW_t^j,$$

and quadratic cost functional

$$\tilde{J}^K(\alpha) \ = \ \mathbb{E}\Big[\int_0^T \big(\tilde{X}_t^\intercal Q^K(t)\tilde{X}_t + \alpha_t^\intercal N^K(t)\alpha_t + 2\tilde{X}_t^\intercal M^K(t)\alpha_t\big)dt \ + \ \tilde{X}_T^\intercal P \tilde{X}_T\Big],$$

where $Q^K(t) = Q + \sum_{i=1}^m (D_{_V}^i)^\intercal K(t) D_{_V}$, $N^K(t) = N + \sum_{i=1}^m F_{_V}^\intercal K(t) F_{_V}^i$, $M^K(t) = \sum_{i=1}^m (D_{_V})^\intercal K(t) F_{_V}$. Under the condition that N^K is positive definite, we can rewrite this cost functional after square completion as

$$\tilde{J}^K(\alpha) \ = \ \mathbb{E}\Big[\int_0^T \big(\tilde{X}_t \tilde{Q}^K(t)\tilde{X}_t + \tilde{\alpha}_t^\intercal N^K(t)\tilde{\alpha}_t\big)dt \ + \ \tilde{X}_T^\intercal P \tilde{X}_T\Big],$$

with $\tilde{Q}^K(t) = Q^K(t) - M^K(t)(N^K(t))^{-1}(M^K(t))^\intercal$, $\tilde{\alpha}_t = \alpha_t + (N^K(t))^{-1}(M^K(t))^\intercal \tilde{X}_t$. By noting that $\tilde{Q}^K(t) \geq Q$, it follows that the symmetric matrices $\tilde{Q}^K$ and P are nonnegative under condition **(C1)**, and assuming furthermore that N^K is uniformly positive definite, it is known from [37] that there exists a unique solution Λ valued in $\mathbb{S}^n$ to this Riccati equation,

with Λ being nonnegative. This implies in particular that $\Gamma^{-1}(K(t),\Lambda(t))$ is well-defined. Since K is nonnegative under **(C1)**, notice that the uniform positivity condition on N^K is satisfied under **(C2)**: this is clear when N is uniformly positive definite (as usually assumed in LQ problem), and holds also true when one of the F_V^i is uniformly nondegenerate, and K is uniformly positive definite, which occurs when P or Q is uniformly positive definite from standard comparison principle for the linear ODE for K.

(iii) Given (K,Λ), it is clear that there exists a unique solution Y valued in $\mathbb{R}^n$ to the linear ODE (6.10).

(iv) Finally, given (K,Λ,Y), the equation for χ is explicitly solved as

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_t &= \int_t^T \big[Y(s)^\intercal b_0 + \sum_{i=1}^m (\gamma_V^i)^\intercal K(s)\gamma_V^i + \sum_{j=1}^d (\gamma_W^j)^\intercal \Lambda(s)\gamma_W^j \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{4}R^\intercal(K(s),\Lambda(s),Y(s))\Gamma^{-1}(K(s),\Lambda(s))R(K(s),\Lambda(s),Y(s))\big]ds, \;\; 0\le t\le T.\end{aligned}$$

By misuse of notation, we set: $\hat{a}(t,\bar{\pi}) = \hat{a}(\pi,K(t),\Lambda(t),Y(t))$, which is linear in $\bar{\pi}$, and we see that there exists a unique solution $\hat{X}$ to the linear stochastic McKean-Vlasov equation:

$$\begin{aligned}d\hat{X}_t &= (b_0 + B\hat{X}_t + \hat{a}(t,\mathbb{E}[\hat{X}_t|W])dt + \sum_{i=1}^m(\gamma_V^i + D_V^i\hat{X}_t + F_V^i\hat{a}(t,\mathbb{E}[\hat{X}_t|W])dV_t^i \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^d(\gamma_W^j + D_W^j\hat{X}_t + F_W^j\hat{a}(t,\mathbb{E}[\hat{X}_t|W])dW_t^j, \quad 0\le t\le T,\; \hat{X}_0 = x_0\in\mathbb{R}^n.\end{aligned}$$

From the verification theorem 5.1, we conclude that an optimal control for (6.2) is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_t^* &= \hat{a}(t,\mathbb{E}[\hat{X}_t|W]), \quad 0\le t\le T, \\ &= -\Gamma^{-1}(K(t),\Lambda(t))\big[U^\intercal(K(t),\Lambda(t))\mathbb{E}[\hat{X}_t|W] + \frac{1}{2}R(K(t),\Lambda(t),Y(t))\big],\end{aligned}$$

while the optimal cost (at time 0) is given by:

$$\mathcal{V}_0 = w(0,\delta_{x_0}) = x_0^\intercal\Lambda(0)x_0 + Y(0)^\intercal x_0 + \chi(0).$$

References

[1] L. Ambrosio, N. Gigli, and G. Savaré. *Gradient flows in metric spaces and in the space of probability measures*. Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zürich. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, second edition, 2008.

[2] A. Bain and D. Crisan. *Fundamentals of stochastic filtering*, volume 60 of *Stochastic Modelling and Applied Probability*. Springer, New York, 2009.

[3] E. Bandini. Optimal control of Piecewise Deterministic Markov Processes: a BSDE representation of the value function. *Preprint arXiv:1512.01659*, 2015.

[4] E. Bandini, A. Cosso, M. Fuhrman, and H. Pham. Randomization method and backward SDEs for optimal control of partially observed path-dependent stochastic systems. *Preprint arXiv:1511.09274v2*, 2016.

[5] E. Bandini and M. Fuhrman. Constrained BSDEs representation of the value function in optimal control of pure jump Markov processes. To appear on *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 2016.

[6] A. Bensoussan. *Stochastic control of partially observable systems*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992.

[7] A. Bensoussan, J. Frehse, and P. Yam. On the interpretation of the master equation.

[8] D. P. Bertsekas and S. E. Shreve. *Stochastic optimal control*, volume 139 of *Mathematics in Science and Engineering*. Academic Press, Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1978. The discrete time case.

[9] J.M. Bismut. Linear quadratic optimal stochastic control with random coefficients. *SIAM J. Control Optimization*, 14:419–444, 1976.

[10] V. S. Borkar. *Probability theory*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.

[11] B. Bouchard. A stochastic target formulation for optimal switching problems in finite horizon. *Stochastics*, 81:171–197, 2009.

[12] R. Buckdahn, J. Li, S. Peng, and C. Rainer. Mean-field stochastic differential equations and associated PDEs. To appear on *Ann. Probab.*, preprint arXiv:1407.1215, 2014.

[13] P. Cardaliaguet. Notes on mean field games. 2012.

[14] R. Carmona and F. Delarue. The master equation for large population equilibriums. In *Stochastic analysis and applications 2014*, volume 100 of *Springer Proc. Math. Stat.*, pages 77–128. Springer, Cham, 2014.

[15] J.F. Chassagneux, D. Crisan, and F. Delarue. A probabilistic approach to classical solutions of the master equation for large population equilibria. *http://arxiv.org/pdf/1411.3009.pdf*, 2015.

[16] S. Choukroun and A. Cosso. Backward SDE representation for stochastic control problems with non-dominated controlled intensity. *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, 26(2):1208–1259, 2016.

[17] A. Cosso, M. Fuhrman, and H. Pham. Long time asymptotics for fully nonlinear Bellman equations: a backward SDE approach. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 126(7):1932–1973, 2016.

[18] A. Cosso, H. Pham, and H. Xing. BSDEs with diffusion constraint and viscous Hamilton-Jacobi equations with unbounded data. To appear on *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat.,* preprint arXiv:1505.06868, 2015.

[19] J.L. Doob. *Measure Theory*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1994.

[20] R. Elie and I. Kharroubi. Adding constraints to BSDEs with jumps: an alternative to multidimensional reflections. *ESAIM Probab. Stat.*, 18:233–250, 2014.

[21] G. Fabbri, F. Gozzi, and A. Święch. *Stochastic optimal control in infinite dimensions: Dynamic programming and HJB equations*, with Chapter 6 by M. Fuhrman and G. Tessitore. Springer, 2015.

[22] F. Gozzi and A. Święch. Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equations for the optimal control of the Duncan-Mortensen-Zakai equation. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 172(2):466–510, 2000.

[23] S. W. He, J. G. Wang, and J. A. Yan. *Semimartingale theory and stochastic calculus*. Kexue Chubanshe (Science Press), Beijing, 1992.

[24] J. Jacod. *Calcul stochastique et problèmes de martingales*, volume 714 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, 1979.

[25] I. Kharroubi, J. Ma, H. Pham, and J. Zhang. Backward SDEs with constrained jumps and quasi-variational inequalities. *Ann. Probab.*, 38(2):794–840, 2010.

[26] I. Kharroubi and H. Pham. Feynman–Kac representation for Hamilton–Jacobi–Bellman IPDE. *Ann. Probab.*, 43(4):1823–1865, 2015.

[27] P.-L. Lions. Viscosity solutions of fully nonlinear second order equations and optimal stochastic control in infinite dimensions. II. Optimal control of Zakai's equation. In *Stochastic partial differential equations and applications*, volume 1390 of *Lec. Notes in Math.*, pages 147–170. Springer, 1989.

[28] P.-L. Lions. Cours au collège de france: Théorie des jeux à champ moyens. 2006-2012.

[29] P.L. Lions. Viscosity solutions of fully nonlinear second-order equations and optimal control in infinite dimension. part I: the case of bounded stochastic evolutions. *Acta Mathematica*, 161:243–278, 1988.

[30] P.L. Lions. Viscosity solutions of fully nonlinear second-order equations and optimal control in infinite dimension. part II: Uniqueness of viscosity solutions for general second-order equations. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 86(1):1–18, 1989.

[31] É. Pardoux. Equations of nonlinear filtering and application to stochastic control with partial observation. In *Nonlinear filtering and stochastic control*, volume 972 of *Lec. Notes in Math.*, pages 208–248. Springer, 1982.

[32] D. Revuz and M. Yor. *Continuous Martingales and Brownian Motion*. Springer, Berlin, 3rd Ed., 1999.

[33] B. L. Rozovskiĭ. *Stochastic evolution systems*, volume 35 of *Mathematics and its Applications (Soviet Series)*. Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1990. Linear theory and applications to nonlinear filtering, Translated from the Russian by A. Yarkho.

[34] S. Tang. The maximum principle for partially observed optimal control of stochastic differential equations. *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, 36(5):1596–1617 (electronic), 1998.

[35] S. Tang and X. Li. Necessary conditions for optimal control of stochastic systems with random jumps. *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, 32(5):1447–1475, 1994.

[36] C. Villani. *Optimal transport*, volume 338 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2009. Old and new.

[37] WM. Wonham. Random differential equations in control theory in: Bharucha-reid at (ed) probabilistic methods in applied mathematics. , pp 131–212. In New York Academic, editor, *In: Bharucha-Reid AT (ed), Probabilistic methods in applied mathematics.*, pages 131–212. 1970.

[38] J. Zabczyk. *Chance and decision*. Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa. Quaderni. [Publications of the Scuola Normale Superiore of Pisa]. Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, 1996. Stochastic control in discrete time.